10-1593

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CASE NO. 1:20-CR-0077
4	;
5	Plaintiff, : JURY TRIAL, DAY 10 vs. :
6	: 10th of February, 2023 LARRY HOUSEHOLDER, et al. :
7	Defendant. :
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY S. BLACK, JUDGE
10	
11	APPEARANCES:
12	For the Plaintiff: Emily N. Glatfelter, Esq.
13	Matthew Charles Singer, Esq. Megan Gaffney Painter, Esq.
14	Assistant United States Attorneys 221 East Fourth Street, Suite 400
15	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
16	For the Defendant, Larry Householder:
17	Nicholas R. Oleski, Esq. Robert T. Glickman, Esq.
18	McCarthy, Lebit, Crystal & Liffman Co. 1111 Superior Avenue East, Suite 2700
19	Cleveland, Ohio 44114 and
20	Mark B. Marein, Esq. Steven L. Bradley, Esq.
21	Marien and Bradley 526 Superior Avenue, Suite 222
22	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
23	
24	
25	
_ •	

10-1594

1	For the Defendant,	Matthew Borges:
2		Karl Herbert Schneider, Esq. Todd Aaron Long, Esq.
3		McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC 21 East State Street, Suite 1700
4		Columbus, Ohio 43215
5	Also present:	Larry Householder Matthew Borges
6		Blane Wetzel, FBI Special Agent Kelly Terry, paralegal
7		PJ Jensen, trial tech
8	Law Clerk:	Cristina V. Frankian, Esq.
9	Courtroom Deputy:	Rebecca Santoro
10	Stenographer:	Lisa Conley Yungblut, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC United States District Court
11		100 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
                               PROCEEDINGS
 2
            (Proceedings held in open court at 9:29 a.m.)
 3
                THE DEPUTY: All rise. This United States District
      Court for the Southern District of Ohio is now in session,
 4
 5
      The Honorable Timothy S. Black, District Judge, presiding.
 6
                THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.
7
      morning. We are in the open courtroom awaiting the jury.
 8
      The jury is ready. Are we ready for the jury from the
 9
      government's perspective?
10
               MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
11
                THE COURT: From Mr. Householder's?
12
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: Mr. Borges'?
14
               MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: Let's call for the jury, please.
16
            (Pause.)
17
                THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
18
            (Jury entered the courtroom at 9:31 a.m.)
19
                THE COURT: Jurors can be seated as they join us.
20
       You may all be seated. Thank you. My, my, my, my, the 14
21
       jurors are back this morning and all tested negative.
22
      They've all got N95 masks on and they are all the recipients
23
      of all of our gratitude for your continuing hard work. I
24
      want to be the first to remind you that today is Friday. We
25
      have an expression in the law, TGIF. We appreciate your
```

```
1
       continuing attention. The same witness will retake the
 2
       stand.
 3
            Agent, good morning, if you would come to the stand.
       And Mr. Householder's lawyer is entitled to begin again his
 4
       cross-examination of this witness after the witness is
 5
 6
       seated and counsel is ready.
7
            (Witness took the stand.)
 8
                MR. GLICKMAN: Thank you, Judge.
 9
                THE COURT: Yes.
10
                MR. GLICKMAN: Good morning, Agent Wetzel.
11
                THE WITNESS: Good morning.
12
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Just some housekeeping from
13
       yesterday. Could we pull up Exhibit 469, please, for the
14
       witness, counsel, and the Court? And go to the next page,
15
       please.
16
                  CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued.)
17
       BY MR. GLICKMAN:
18
             Do you recognize this as the credit card records from
19
       Jeff Longstreth that you -- that we discussed yesterday?
20
             Yes. This is one of Mr. Longstreth's credit cards.
21
             Okay. Showing the -- from January of 2016 -- January
22
       of 2017?
             I'm sorry, would you state that again?
23
       Α
24
             Sure. From the period of January 2017 that we
25
       discussed yesterday, the period of the inauguration?
```

1	A Yes.
2	Q And showing the charge at the Charlie Palmer
3	steakhouse that we discussed yesterday?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Your Honor, I would ask that
6	this be admitted into evidence, please?
7	THE COURT: Any objections?
8	MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
9	MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
10	THE COURT: It's admitted. You may publish it if
11	you wish.
12	MR. GLICKMAN: No, thank you, Judge.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. GLICKMAN: Could you pull up Exhibit 466,
15	please?
16	Q Sir, do you recognize Householder Exhibit 466 as a
17	photograph that was pulled from the phone of Jeff Longstreth
18	during your investigation?
19	A I believe that's correct. There were a number of
20	photographs we took from his phone.
21	Q Well, you see, is that Mr. Longstreth in the photo?
22	A Yes, that's right.
23	Q Okay. Do you recall in your investigation a
24	photograph of Mr. Longstreth along with Rick Perry, the
25	soon-to-be secretary of energy?

1	A I don't recall this photograph specifically, but
2	that's certainly who's on the photograph.
3	Q Okay. Do you happen to know who the person on the
4	right is?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Who's that?
7	A I think that's Ty Pine.
8	Q Okay. All right.
9	MR. GLICKMAN: Your Honor, I would ask that this
10	exhibit be entered into evidence.
11	THE COURT: Any objections?
12	MS. GLATFELTER: I believe the witness said he
13	couldn't authenticate it and didn't know who some of the
14	people were.
15	THE COURT: I agree. And he maybe there's
16	another way, another time.
17	MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. All right. For the counsel,
18	the witness, and the Court, could you please show redacted
19	Exhibit 169?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	Q Sir, do you recognize 169 as a document produced to
22	the government pursuant to a Grand Jury subpoena by
23	FirstEnergy noting the Bates stamp number on the bottom?
24	A I see the Bates stamp number on the bottom. I don't
25	remember this document specifically.

1 MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could you go to the second 2 page, please? 3 Well, the Bates stamp number on the bottom indicates that it was in fact produced to you, yes? 4 That's the same Bates stamp that they have. I just 5 6 mean that I don't recall this one specifically. 7 Q Okay. The second page shows donations to both 8 Partners For Progress and Generation Now, yes? 9 It lists under political 501(c)(4) contributions, 10 several of them, yes. 11 Well, three of them to be fair, yes, the document is 12 redacted? 13 Correct, yes. 14 Okay. And Partners For Progress is a (c)(4) whose 15 treasurer is Mike Van Buren that we discussed yesterday? 16 Α Correct. 17 And Generation Now, we've -- you've discussed at 18 length, yes? 19 Correct. Α 20 Okay. And the category on the far right under 21 "Reason," could you read what the reason is listed for Generation Now? 22 23 It says Jeff -- it says Longstreth, excuse me. 24 And could you read what the reason is for Partners For 25 Progress?

```
1
       Α
             Support of energy and economic development issues.
 2
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. All right. Your Honor, I
 3
       would ask that this exhibit be entered into evidence.
 4
                THE COURT: Any objection?
 5
                MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
 6
                MR. SCHNEIDER: None.
7
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
 8
                MR. GLICKMAN: I'm not asking that it be published,
 9
       Judge.
10
                THE COURT: Very well.
11
             Okay. So we discussed briefly yesterday that there
12
       were whip counts kept by FirstEnergy Solutions regarding the
13
       races for both the House and the Senate; do you recall that?
14
             Yes.
       Α
15
             Okay. All right. And in a whip count, they rank like
16
       how likely it is that a representative will be supportive of
17
       a particular issue?
18
             I don't know how they keep their whip count.
19
             Fair enough.
       Q
20
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we pull up for counsel and the
21
       Court and the witness, Householder Exhibit 211, please?
22
             Sir, do you recognize this document as an Ohio whip
23
       count?
24
             I don't recognize this document, but it says "whip
25
       count" at the top.
```

```
1
                MR. GLICKMAN: One moment, please.
 2
                THE COURT: Yes.
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Pull up Exhibit 209, please.
             Sir, we discussed this e-mail yesterday from David
 4
       0
 5
       Griffing to a large number of people regarding the agenda
       for a meeting. Do you recall discussing this e-mail?
 6
7
       Α
             Yeah, yeah. We went through some of the items in the
 8
       agenda list. That's right.
 9
             Okay. And the e-mail lists attachments?
10
             Yes, it does.
       Α
11
             Including the Ohio whip list?
12
             It says, yes, "Ohio Whip List, Updated 9.24.18.XLS."
13
             And this was a document produced you by FirstEnergy
14
       pursuant to your Grand Jury subpoena?
15
       Α
             Again, it bears the mark, but I don't remember it
16
       specifically.
17
             So on direct examination, you remembered essentially
18
       every document that was put in front of you and suddenly
19
       your memory is lacking.
20
             Ms. Glatfelter --
21
                THE COURT: Is there a question, please?
22
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes.
23
             Why is that?
       Q
24
             Ms. Glatfelter asked me if I received documents about
25
       a topic, and I do recall receiving documents about topics.
```

1 She would then show me the document and that would refresh 2 my recollection. 3 Did you receive documents regarding FirstEnergy, 4 FirstEnergy Solutions' campaign plans during various 5 election cycles? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Including the 2018 election? 8 Α Yes. 9 Exhibit 2009 (sic) is one of those documents, yes? 10 It bears the mark of it. I don't remember it 11 specifically. 12 MR. GLICKMAN: Please pull up 211 again. 13 This was an attachment to 209, wasn't it? 14 I don't remember if it was the attachment. It may 15 have been. 16 The names on 2011 (sic) are various House of 17 Representatives. Do you recognize those names? 18 I do, yes. Α 19 Okay. And the Whip Count, which is the fifth column 20 over, puts a number by the various representatives? 21 I believe it's the sixth column. Yes, I see it. Α 22 Q Sorry about that. 23 Α That's all right. 24 That number is somewhere between one and five? Q 25 That's what it appears. The lowest number is two, but Α

```
1
       it seems one through five.
 2
                MR. GLICKMAN: Let's go to page 7, please.
 3
             See Mr. Householder's name?
       0
 4
             I do.
       Α
 5
             Okay. He's ranked somewhere between a 1 and a 2?
       Q
 6
             Yes, it lists 1 slash 2.
       Α
             Much like Mr. O'Brien up top is 2 slash 3?
7
       Q
 8
       Α
             Mr. O'Brien is listed as 2 slash 3.
 9
             Okay. All right. And so Mr. Householder was not
10
       listed as a one?
             One slash 2, what we just discussed.
11
12
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. And if we can go back to the
13
       e-mail, please, Exhibit 209.
14
             This is -- this e-mail is dated November 6th of 2018?
       0
15
       Α
             Yes, it is.
16
             Okay. So 10 months after -- approximately 10 months
17
       after or a little more, more than the January 18th dinner in
18
       Washington, DC?
19
             Wasn't that in 2017?
       Α
20
             I'm sorry, more than that. Almost two years later?
             It was in November of '18, yes. The January '17 is
21
22
       when we discussed the trip to the inauguration.
             So almost two years later, FirstEnergy had
23
24
       Mr. Householder not ranked as a one as far as supporting
25
       House Bill 6?
```

```
1
             I'm not sure what a one or a two means. They listed
       Α
2
       it as one slash 2 on their sheet.
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Go to page 5 of 211, please.
 4
             Do you see Mr. Anthony -- and I may be mispronouncing
       0
 5
       his name -- DeVitis as the first name listed?
             It's the second name listed.
 6
       Α
             Oh, I'm sorry. And he's rated as a 1, yes?
7
       Q
 8
       Α
             It lists him as a 1, yes.
 9
                MR. GLICKMAN: Your Honor, I'd ask that 209 -- 211
10
       be admitted into evidence, please?
11
                THE COURT: Any objection?
12
                MS. GLATFELTER: No.
13
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
14
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
15
                MR. GLICKMAN: Publish for the jury, please?
16
                THE COURT: Yes.
17
                MR. GLICKMAN: Go to page 7.
18
             Sir, Mr. Householder's name is third from the bottom.
19
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we blow that up, please?
20
       Thank you.
21
             And you see, is that where it says Mr. Householder is
22
       somewhere between a 1 and a 2?
23
             It says 1 slash 2, yes.
       Α
24
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we go to Exhibit 308,
25
       please, just for counsel, and the Court, and the witness,
```

```
1
       obviously?
 2
             Sir, is this a document that you reviewed in the
 3
       course of your investigation?
 4
            Would you like it blown up? I'm sorry, I know your --
 5
       it looks to me like you're squinting.
             I am squinting, sorry. Thank you. I reviewed
 6
7
       documents from Mr. Cespedes communicating with Mr. Griffing
 8
       and other folks. I don't recall this specific document.
 9
             Okay. Well, you recognize Mr. Cespedes' e-mail
10
       address, yes?
11
             I do, yes.
       Α
12
             And you know who Mr. Griffing is, we've talked about
13
       that at length -- or you have on direct examination, yes?
14
             Indeed, yes.
       Α
15
       Q
             Okay. And this is from November 20th of 2018,
16
       correct?
17
             It lists that as the date, um-hmm.
       Α
18
             And the subject is, "Ohio FES Timeline, First Draft"?
19
             It is.
       Α
20
             "FES," in parlance of this case, is FirstEnergy
21
       Solutions?
22
       Α
             Correct.
23
             Okay. And this attachment lists: FirstEnergy
24
       Solutions Ohio First Draft Timeline?
25
            It does.
       Α
```

```
1
       Q
             Okay. And given the November of 2018 date and
2
       FirstEnergy Solution draft timeline, this is certainly a
 3
       document that's relevant to your investigation, yes?
 4
             Yeah, certainly.
       Α
 5
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we see Exhibit 309,
                It's the attachment to the e-mail, "Ohio FES
 6
7
       Legislative Timeline."
 8
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
 9
             Okay. Does -- does the legislative timeline list the
10
       key leadership meetings and final term sheets draft
11
       language?
12
             That's the first line, yes.
13
             All right. It lists, under the first bullet point,
14
       the DeWine/Husted administration?
       Α
15
             Correct.
16
             Okay. And that's obviously the governor and
17
       lieutenant governor?
18
             Yes, that's right.
       Α
19
             In 2018, yes?
       Q
20
             Elected in that year, correct.
21
             It lists, in the second bullet point, the name
22
       "Obhof"?
23
       Α
             Correct.
24
             Okay. Is Mr. Obhof a senator?
       Q
25
             Correct, a state senator.
       Α
```

1 In the Ohio State Legislature? Q 2 Α Correct. 3 Okay. It lists: Develop a constituent member strategy that focuses on updating both the Senate and the 4 5 House members while the leadership battle in the House plays 6 out? Yes, that's the next bullet. 7 Α 8 Q And the leadership battle in the House in 2018 was 9 between Mr. Smith and Mr. Householder, wasn't it? 10 Correct. Α 11 The next bullet point says: Speaker's race clarity 12 mid-December but that's not quaranteed? 13 It does. Α 14 Okay. And it says: If Smith is successful, be 15 important to quickly schedule a plant tour for him? 16 It does. Α 17 So FirstEnergy Solutions was planning on lobbying 18 Mr. Smith, yes? 19 It lists that if it was successful, it would be Α 20 important to quickly schedule a plant tour for him. 21 And it says: If Householder is successful, the effort 22 will likely be led from his chambers. If not successful, we 23 will still need to meet with him to secure his votes for 24 final effort, I'm sorry, it says, "final effort," not, "our 25 effort"?

1 Α That's what it says. 2 Okay. So again, this is from November of 2018, 3 correct? 4 Α Yes. 5 Again, substantially after the 2017 January meeting in 6 Washington, right? 7 Α It is. And they're still talking about securing 8 9 Mr. Householder's votes? 10 It appears that they're contingency planning. 11 Mr. Householder has a block of members, Team Householder, 12 they would need those in order for a bill to pass, so even 13 if he is not successful becoming -- if he is becoming 14 Speaker, he will lead the effort. And if he's not, we will 15 still need the people who are loyal to him to vote for our 16 bill. 17 And they would need him too, yes? 18 "Still need to meet with him to secure his votes," is 19 what it says. 20 Yeah. Okay. And this lists, then, important dates in 21 January 19th as far as when the General Assembly was sworn, 22 in, governor lieutenant, governor sworn in, et cetera? 23 Α Correct. 24 MR. GLICKMAN: Your Honor, I would ask 308 and 309 25 be entered into evidence?

```
1
                THE COURT: Any objections?
 2
                MS. GLATFELTER: No.
 3
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
 4
 5
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could you publish 309 for the jury,
 6
       please?
                THE COURT: Yes.
7
 8
       Q
             So it's the --
 9
                THE COURT: It's not quite up yet. There it is.
10
             Where it says the -- it's the fourth bullet point
11
       down. I'm sorry, after -- after leadership and key
12
       legislative meetings, it's the fourth bullet point down
13
       talking about the Speaker's race clarity?
14
             Yes, it's listed in the fourth bullet point under the
15
       first section.
16
             The second bullet point, under there, it talks about
17
       securing Mr. Householder's vote or votes, yes?
18
             It says: If he is successful, the effort will likely
       Α
19
       be led from his chamber. If not successful, we will still
20
       need to meet with him to secure his votes for our effort.
21
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we pull up Exhibit 165,
22
       please?
23
             Sir, do you recognize this as an e-mail that was
24
       produced by FirstEnergy in the course of your investigation?
25
       Α
             I recognize that there are e-mails on this topic. I
```

```
1
       don't remember this one.
2
             Okay. Do you recognize the -- that this bears the
 3
       same FirstEnergy Bates stamp that all of the documents
 4
       produced by FirstEnergy for your Grand Jury subpoena?
 5
             I see it at the bottom.
             Okay. And this is an e-mail -- the last e-mail in the
 6
7
       chain is from Joel Bailey, yes?
 8
       Α
             Correct.
 9
             And it's to Ty Pine?
10
             That's what it says.
       Α
11
             Okay. Subject is: Forward external forward whip list
       0
12
       April 14th, 2019?
13
             Correct.
       Α
14
             Okay. And the date is April the 14th of 2019?
       Q
15
       Α
             Correct.
             Okay. All right. The importance is listed as normal?
16
       Q
17
       Α
             Yes.
18
             Okay. And it has attachments, yes?
       Q
19
             It does. It bears a couple of them.
       Α
20
             Whip list for Senate PDF?
       Q
21
       Α
             Correct.
22
       Q
             Whip list for House PDF?
23
       Α
             Yes.
24
             Whip list with advocacy tears?
       Q
25
             Yes, and then it's 3/27/19.
       Α
```

1	MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Go to page 26, please. Oh,
2	I'm sorry, Exhibit 166. Could you show Exhibit 166, please?
3	Page 26.
4	Q Do you recognize this as an attachment to that e-mail
5	and a whip count?
6	A I don't recall this specific attachment. There are a
7	lot of lists like this that were passed around.
8	Q So there were a lot of whip counts that were passed
9	around that you received from FirstEnergy?
10	A I'm speaking generally in the investigation. There
11	were a lot of lists that bear candidates, representatives,
12	districts, those kinds of things. I don't recall that this
13	one is connected to that e-mail.
14	Q Well, during the entire course of your investigation,
15	do you recall seeing whip counts?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And the whip counts detailed they ranked
18	literally how FirstEnergy Solutions thought a representative
19	would be supportive, not supportive, or was somehow in the
20	middle on their issue?
21	A Yes. The purpose of the list is to try for the
22	lobbyist to figure out how a person is going to vote on a
23	piece of legislation.
24	Q Okay. I believe it's the top list, the top of this
25	exhibit, do you recall a representative by the name of Susan

```
1
       Manchester?
2
             I do.
       Α
 3
             Yes or no?
 4
       Α
            Yes.
 5
             I didn't hear you.
             I said I do. I'm sorry.
 6
7
                MR. GLICKMAN: All right. Your Honor, I would ask
 8
       to admit Exhibits 165 and 166?
 9
                THE COURT: Any objection?
10
                MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
11
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
12
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
13
             Okay. Susan Manchester is listed as a 3, yes?
14
             I can't see the column heading. There are two number
15
       threes listed for her.
16
             Okay. All right. And she is listed as a Householder
17
       supporter, yes?
18
             On the far right column, they wrote that in, yes.
19
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we take that down,
20
       please? I'm trying to find --
             The third down, Riordan McClain, do you represent --
21
22
       do you recognize that as the name of a representative?
23
       Α
             I do.
24
             And you see his -- he has the same threes that you saw
25
       with Ms. Manchester?
```

```
1
       Α
             Correct.
 2
             And he's listed as a Ryan Smith supporter?
 3
             On the far right, yes.
       Α
 4
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Pull up Government
 5
       Exhibit 405, please. Judge, I believe this exhibit has
       already been admitted. I ask that it be published?
 6
7
                THE COURT: Yes.
             Okay. You recognize Exhibit 405 as a list of
 8
       Q
 9
       candidates in the 2018 general election for the Ohio House?
10
             I do.
       Α
11
             Okay. Who created this list?
12
             We did.
       Α
13
             The government?
       Q
14
             Correct.
       Α
15
       Q
             And you have a -- you have the House Districts listed.
16
       They are up for election. Obviously, they're all not up for
17
       election, that's why they are not -- they don't go one, two,
18
       three, four?
19
             They're all up for election.
       Α
20
             Well, it says "Team Householder candidate," doesn't
       Q
21
       it?
22
       Α
             That's the second column.
23
             So you're indicating that all of the names here are
24
       Team Householder candidates?
25
             That's my understanding.
       Α
```

1 Well, Tom Brinkman wasn't a member of Team Q 2 Householder, was he? 3 I believe that there was indication that he received financial support from one of the entities that 4 5 Mr. Householder was using to support his candidates. information to build the chart comes from the records. You 6 7 recall that there was Generation Now money that passes 8 through the Growth and Opportunity PAC to support 9 candidates, and so I'm judging the -- it indirectly. 10 Also, I know, for example, that there were folks on here, that, over time, their position with Mr. Householder 11 12 shifted. For example, Mr. Jay Todd Smith was not a primary 13 Team Householder candidate, but he was a general Team 14 Householder candidate. 15 Q Well, Mr. Jay Todd Smith supported Mr. Householder's 16 primary opponent, didn't he? 17 I'm sorry, would you say that again? 18 Sure. Jay Todd Smith supported in the primary 19 election Mr. Householder's opponent? 20 I'm not aware of that. 21 But you list -- so essentially anybody who received 22 funds, you listed as a team of Householder? 23 No, I think we used a variety of factors. There are 24 folks that were supported financially by Mr. Householder, 25 there were also a number of lists in Mr. Longstreth's

1 possession of -- there were also some text messages that I 2 think we looked at as well where it listed who are our 3 candidates. It's a combination of things that helped build 4 this list. 5 There were seven races in the general -- in the 6 primary election where Team Householder candidates were 7 defeated, yes? 8 I don't recall the exact number. We created a graphic 9 that showed it. 10 Okay. But you list -- in some instances, you list the 11 candidates who defeated them as members of Team Householder? 12 Some of them became members of Team Householder, yes. Α 13 And how did you -- other than looking at information, 14 did you actually interview these people and ask if they were 15 supportive of Larry Householder or not? 16 I spoke to Mr. Longstreth about it. Α 17 So you simply went by the word of Mr. Longstreth? 0 18 And also the text messages that we recovered listing Α 19 who was on the team at certain times and dates. And then 20 also documents recovered from members of JPL & Associates, 21 Megan Fitzmartin, Anna Lippincott, they all had documents 22 detailing who was on Team Householder and then obviously it 23 evolves over time. It's quite fluid to my understanding. 24 So at no time did you go interview these folks and say 25 hey, what's your opinion on Larry Householder, are you

1 supportive or not supportive? 2 I didn't interview any Team Householder candidates. 3 That goes back to the covert versus overt thing that we 4 discussed. It's challenging to interview people and 5 maintain a covert investigation. Once the investigation became public, it certainly 6 7 would have been reasonable to -- you wouldn't have had 8 nothing to lose by interviewing these folks, would you? 9 I'm not sure I follow, "nothing to lose"? 10 Sure. Once the investigation is no longer covert and 11 it is overt, nothing prevents you from interviewing members 12 of the House of Representatives who were in the legislature 13 during the course of your investigation, right? 14 I certainly can ask if they'll interview with me, yes, 15 that's right. 16 Q But you didn't? 17 I'll look at the list again. I don't believe that we 18 attempted to speak to anyone on the list of Team Householder 19 candidates other than Mr. Householder. 20 Okay. I'm looking at District 59 -- 99, I'm sorry, 21 which would be the last one on the list, obviously. You 22 have Mr. Pircio listed as a Team Householder candidate? 23 Yes, I do have him listed as a candidate. He received 24 financial support. 25 You also have him winning? Q

1 Α I do. That is an error. He did not prevail. 2 Mr. Patterson won. And I did speak with Mr. Pircio actually 3 at one point on an unrelated matter. 4 But not to ask him if he was supportive of Larry Householder or not? 5 I did ask him, as I recall, if he received financial 6 7 support and he indicated that he had. 8 But you didn't ask him if he was supportive of Larry 9 Householder, did you? 10 I would want to look at my 302 to recall exactly what 11 I asked him. I don't remember, but I do recall I asked him 12 about the financial support and he indicated that he had 13 received it. 14 So you see Jena Powell's name on this? 0 15 Α Yes, in District 80. 16 Now she was a member of Team Householder, yes? Q 17 That's my understanding. Α 18 She voted no on House Bill 6, didn't she? 0 19 She did. Α 20 MR. GLICKMAN: Could we see Government Exhibit 320 21 E, please? 22 MR. JENSEN: 320? 23 MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, E. 24 Your Honor, I believe this exhibit has been admitted. 25 I ask that it be published?

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	Q You testified about this exhibit during your direct
3	examination, yes?
4	A Yes, I remember it.
5	Q This is from Megan Fitzmartin on Friday, November
6	the 2nd of 2018?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q Shortly before the election?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. All right. And the Hardworking Ohioans
11	anti-like body House HD 19 heading. Hardworking Ohioans is
12	a group that ran advertisements in that election?
13	A It received money from Mr. Householder and ran
14	advertisements against candidates.
15	Q Okay. Hold on. You just said it received money from
16	Mr. Householder. Do you have a check from his personal bank
17	account to Hardworking Ohioans?
18	A There was money that was sent from Generation Now and
19	also other sources.
20	Q You've reviewed the formation documents for Generation
21	Now, haven't you?
22	A I have.
23	Q Is Mr. Householder's name on them?
24	A No.
25	Q So how about we stop saying

1 THE COURT: Question, questions for the witness, 2 please. 3 Mr. Longstreth controlled Generation Now's bank 4 account, didn't he? 5 Mr. Longstreth is the signatory. Well, Mr. Longstreth was the only one who could write 6 7 checks, yes? 8 Α Yes. 9 He was on the bank account? 10 Α He was. 11 Okay. So when you say somebody got money from 12 Generation Now and you say from Mr. Householder, you're 13 conflating those two, aren't you? 14 No, I don't believe so. Α 15 Q Well, that's your opinion, yes? 16 There were multiple individuals in this investigation 17 that indicated that Mr. Householder controlled Generation 18 Now's finances. 19 So I take it Mr. Householder could write checks off 20 the account? 21 No. As we just discussed, Mr. Longstreth is the 22 signatory. 23 All right. So when this e-mail regarding the 24 Hardworking Ohioans turn back ad, that is -- again, this 25 e-mail was a few days before the election, right, four or

```
1
       five days?
 2
       Α
             Yes.
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. And could we see Householder
       Exhibit 471, please, not to be published to the jury? Could
 4
 5
       you blow up just that first check, please?
 6
             Do you recognize the name New Day Media, LLC?
             I do.
7
       Α
             Okay. That's one of the organizations that created
 8
 9
       the ads we're talking about, yes?
10
             For Hardworking Ohioans, yes.
       Α
11
       0
             Yes.
12
       Α
             Yes.
13
             New Day Media is a media company, yes?
       Q
14
       Α
             Yeah.
15
       Q
             If you want to create a television ad and I want to
16
       buy television time or radio time, it's one of the
17
       organizations that you could go to?
18
             Yeah. It's part of the Strategy Group companies.
       Α
19
                MR. GLICKMAN: All right. And can we scroll
20
       through these checks, please? Just stop there and blow up
21
       the first two.
22
             So the dates on these checks are from April of 2018,
23
       right?
24
             Correct, the 26th.
25
             All right. And, sir, in the course of your
       Q
```

```
1
       investigation, did you get documents from New Day Media?
 2
             Bank records, yes.
 3
             And some of the records that you got are checks like
 4
       these? (Indicating.)
 5
             Yes. We received checks, statements, wire transfers,
       those kinds of things.
 6
7
             Okay. And ordinarily -- obviously, in order to buy
 8
       airtime and to create an ad, you need to buy the time, you
 9
       need to pay for it, yes?
10
             Correct.
       Α
11
             And these checks, among other things, reflect that; do
12
       they not?
13
             Some of them do, yeah.
14
             Okay. And going back, we indicated the e-mail that we
15
       discussed earlier, that was from November the 2nd, shortly
16
       before the election of 2018?
17
       Α
             Yes.
18
             Didn't all of the checks to New Day Media predate
19
       November the 2nd except for two?
20
             I would want to look at the record to confirm that.
21
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. All right. Let's scroll
22
       through the checks, please, and let the witness look at
23
       them.
24
                MR. MAREIN: Judge, may I hand counsel something?
25
                THE COURT: Yes, yes.
```

```
1
                MR. MAREIN: Thank you.
2
             Are you able to see them, sir?
       Q
 3
       Α
             Yes.
 4
             Okay.
       0
 5
             I'm sorry, are you scrolling through them? I only see
 6
       the three.
7
             If at any point you can't see the dates, just please
 8
       let me know.
 9
             Yes, sir.
10
                MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, while we're doing that, I ask
       that Exhibit 471 be entered into evidence?
11
12
                THE COURT: Is that this exhibit?
13
                MR. GLICKMAN: This is this exhibit, yes, Judge,
14
       Householder 471.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Is there any objection?
16
                MS. GLATFELTER: One moment, Your Honor. No
17
       objection, Your Honor.
18
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
19
                MR. GLICKMAN: While we're scrolling, if the jury
20
       could follow along, I'd appreciate it.
21
                THE COURT: Indeed, we can publish it.
22
                MR. GLICKMAN: How many pages is this?
23
                MR. JENSEN: 17.
24
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we just go to page 7, please?
25
       And blow up the checks where the memo says "HWO 10/23 to
```

```
1
       10/29."
 2
             See this check to WBNS-TV?
 3
            I do.
       Α
 4
            That's a TV station?
 5
             That's my understanding.
             Okay. And the memo says "Hardworking Ohioans, Inc.,
 6
7
       10/23 to 10/29"?
 8
       Α
            It does.
 9
            $146,030?
10
            Correct.
       Α
11
             Okay. All right. And obviously it's dated 10/22,
12
       that would be before November 2nd, yes, and before the
13
       election?
14
            Correct.
15
             Okay. All right. The second check is WCMH-TV for
16
       63,000 and change?
17
             Yes, yes, that's correct.
18
             Hardworking -- the memo says, "Hardworking Ohioans
19
       10/23 to 10/29"?
20
            It does.
21
            Okay. So the -- sorry.
       Q
22
             So the --
23
                MR. GLICKMAN: Can we pull back up Government's
24
       Exhibit 405? I'm sorry, wrong exhibit. My bad. Government
25
       Exhibit 320 E.
```

1 So this e-mail from Ms. Fitzmartin was written after Q 2 those checks were issued to the TV stations? 3 Correct. Α 4 So the TV -- the TV time had been purchased? 5 Yeah, the check had already been written. 6 Ad had been cut? Q 7 Α Correct. 8 MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Pull up Government 9 Exhibit 415, please. Thank you. Judge, this exhibit has 10 already been admitted. I ask that it be published? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 Okay. So do you remember indicating that you believed Q 13 Mr. Brinkman was on Team Householder? 14 He was listed as Team Householder and he is here as Α 15 well, yes. 16 Who did he vote for for Speaker of the House? Q 17 Ryan Smith. Α 18 Not a very good team member, huh? 19 Again, we cobbled together the information of who was Α 20 on the team through various means. 21 MR. GLICKMAN: Could we pull up Government 22 Exhibit 207, please? Judge, I believe this exhibit has been 23 admitted. I'd ask that it be published? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 So here, again, for House District 27, you have Q

```
1
       Mr. Brinkman listed as a Team Householder candidate, right?
 2
             I do.
       Α
 3
             And that's before he voted for Ryan Smith?
 4
             Correct.
       Α
 5
             You have -- will you look at House District 84,
 6
       please?
7
            You have Travis Faber as a House District candidate,
 8
       you have Aaron Heilers as a primary opponent, and you have
 9
       Aaron Heilers winning?
10
             That's what it says.
       Α
11
             Who's Susan Manchester?
       0
12
             She's a representative.
13
             From the 84th District who won that race. It was a
14
       three-way race, sir?
15
       Α
             Okay.
16
             You don't remember that?
17
             It appears I made an error with that line.
       Α
18
                MR. GLICKMAN: Pull up Government Exhibit 323 C,
19
       please, which I don't believe has been admitted. Yes, it
20
       has, I'm sorry.
21
                THE COURT: Did you want it published?
22
                MR. GLICKMAN: I will.
23
                THE COURT: It appears to be published.
24
                MR. GLICKMAN: This is 320 C, Government
25
       Exhibit 323 C.
```

1	Q	This is an e-mail, it says: From Larry Householder to
2	Micha	ael Dowling postelection appreciation celebration?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. This is actually before the election, isn't it?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. And it has an invitation?
7	A	Yes. It contains an invite.
8	Q	Okay. And, sir, this was a blast e-mail that was sent
9	to a	lot of people, not just Michael Dowling, right?
10	A	This e-mail is just to Michael Dowling.
11	Q	Understood. But have you ever seen an invitation to a
12	party	where the e-mail just comes to you, but it actually
13	also	goes to everybody else so that way the inviter isn't
14	shari	ing his whole list of people with the invitee?
15	A	Sure.
16	Q	You're not suggesting that just Mr. Dowling was
17	invit	ted to Mr. Householder's election party, are you?
18	A	No. Just that this e-mail is just to Mr. Dowling.
19	Q	And this e-mail was sent by Mr. Householder's
20	campa	aign, right?
21	A	I'm sorry, would you ask that again?
22	Q	This e-mail was sent by Mr. Householder's campaign to
23	celek	orate election day, yes?
24	A	It lists: The Friends of Larry Householder invites
25	you t	to a postelection appreciation celebration.

```
1
             And the name of his campaign committee was?
       Q
2
             The Friends of Larry Householder.
       Α
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we see Government Exhibit 421
       A, which has already been admitted, Judge?
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Yes.
 6
                MR. GLICKMAN: And ask that it be published?
7
                THE COURT: Yes.
 8
       Q
             You testified about a person named Pat Tully during
 9
       your direct examination, yes?
10
             I did.
       Α
11
             Okay. All right. And this is an e-mail from
12
       Mr. Tully to Ty Pine and its subject says "Resume"?
13
             That's incorrect. It's not from Mr. Tully.
       Α
14
             I'm sorry. The second e-mail, sir. In chronological
15
       order --
16
       Α
             Oh, yes.
17
             -- from the bottom to top?
18
             My mistake. Oh, the bottom e-mail is from Mr. Tully
19
       to --
20
             I have generally started with the e-mail at the top so
21
       it wasn't your mistake, it was mine. So, but this e-mail is
22
       from Pat Tully to Ty Pine, the subject is "Resume"?
23
       Α
             Yes, that's correct.
24
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. And will you pull up
25
       Government Exhibit 421 D, Judge, which I believe has already
```

1	been admitted?	
2	THE COURT: Yes.	
3	MR. GLICKMAN: And publish for the jury, please?	
4	THE COURT: Yes.	
5	Q I believe, in your direct examination, you said that	
6	Mr. Tully worked for the Republican Caucus?	
7	A Yeah. You mean for Mr. Householder or do you mean on	
8	his resume?	
9	Q I meant at the time of this e-mail, he was I	
10	understood your testimony to be that he was employed at that	
11	time by the Republican Caucus.	
12	A No. I believe this is sending his resume. I believe	
13	he was hired after this e-mail.	
14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. But at the time of the e-mail, I just, just	
15	clearing this up, at the time of the e-mail, he was employed	
16	by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, yes?	
17	A Correct.	
18	Q And he was a senior policy advisor?	
19	A That's what he lists on the resume.	
20	Q You do know who Pat Tully is, yes?	
21	A Yes. I've met him.	
22	Q Okay. And you know what he does for a living?	
23	A Currently, I'm not certain.	
24	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Well, you know what he did for a living during the	
25	period of your investigation, right?	

1 Yeah. Well, yes. He worked for Mr. Householder doing Α 2 energy policy. 3 Okay. And in looking at his resume, he is a -- he's certainly qualified to advise on energy policy, isn't he? 4 5 I don't want to get into if I think he's qualified or 6 Certainly, he was hired to do that job. 7 Q Okay. Well, at the time in and around 2016, he was a 8 senior policy advisor for the Public Utilities Commission of 9 Ohio? 10 Correct. Α 11 Who supervises energy providers in Ohio? 12 The distribution utilities are managed by the Public 13 Utilities Commission of Ohio. 14 And while there, he directs over 30 commission 0 15 initiatives? 16 Α That's what he lists. 17 Manages -- during the course of your investigation, 18 did you determine in any way that Mr. Tully lied on his 19 resume? 20 Α No. 21 He manages economic development projects? Q 22 Α (Nodding head.) 23 THE COURT: Yes? 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 25 THE COURT: Excuse me.

```
1
                MS. GLATFELTER: Relevance.
 2
                THE COURT: An objection as to relevance.
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, they put the exhibit into
       evidence.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: I'm going to let you wrap this up.
       may proceed. The objection is overruled.
 6
             He manages economic development projects?
7
       Q
 8
       Α
             That's the next bullet, yes.
 9
             Serves as a representative to external stakeholders?
       Q
10
             That's the next bullet.
       Α
11
             Liaison to the financial community and credit rating
12
       agencies?
13
             That's the next bullet.
14
             And he did that beginning in 2016, at least until the
15
       date of this e-mail, when he sent the resume, yes?
16
             Yeah. 2016 to present is what's listed.
17
                MR. GLICKMAN: Go to Government Exhibit 422,
18
       please, Judge, which has already been admitted and ask that
19
       it be published?
20
                THE COURT: Yes.
21
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we go to page 16, please?
22
       Q
             You recognize this as the session journal from
23
       February of 2019, yes?
24
             Yes, February 6th.
       Α
25
             We discussed earlier?
       Q
```

1 Α Correct. 2 Okay. Well, I shouldn't say "we." You discussed 3 earlier with the government, you testified about it earlier? 4 I did, yes, that's right. Α 5 All right. And you indicated in your direct testimony 6 that there was an Energy Generation Subcommittee that was 7 added to the legislature pursuant to these session notes, 8 yes? 9 Yes, that's right. 10 Okay. And during this period of time, nuclear energy 11 amounted to slightly more than 14 percent of all of Ohio's 12 electricity? 13 That sounds correct. I don't know that I broke out 14 the percentage at any time, but that sounds right. 15 Q And as we've discussed at length, Ohio only had two 16 nuclear power plants, they were both owned by FirstEnergy 17 Solutions? 18 Correct. Α 19 Okay. And FirstEnergy Solutions was in bankruptcy? 20 Α Yes, correct. 21 At that time, during this period of time? Q 22 Α Yeah, that's right. 23 All right. So certainly there's nothing odd about a 24 state legislature creating a new subcommittee given an 25 emergent issue, is there?

1	A	I don't know that I would characterize it as odd or
2	not o	dd. It's the prerogative of the Speaker which
3	commi	ttees are made.
4	Q	At least as far as the nuclear industry in Ohio at the
5	time,	there was an emergency, yes?
6	A	I don't know that I would say that.
7	Q	Well, wasn't the company that owned the only two
8	nucle	ar power plants in bankruptcy?
9	A	That's true, they were in bankruptcy. I'm not sure
10	that that constitutes an emergency.	
11	Q	And weren't they lobbying for legislation to attempt
12	to help their financial situation?	
13	A	They were.
14	Q	Okay. And, in fact, there were other new
15	subco	mmittees that were formed under this House of
16	Repre	sentatives, weren't there?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	With things that had nothing to do with energy?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	There was a subcommittee on criminal justice?
21	A	Criminal sentencing is what it lists.
22	Q	Okay. And primary and secondary education issues?
23	A	Yes, I see it. The primary and secondary education
24	prima	ry and secondary education subcommittee is listed, yes.
25		MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. So can we go back to

```
1
       Government Exhibit 406, please? And ask -- Judge, this
 2
       exhibit has already been admitted in evidence.
 3
             Okay. This is the e-mail from Dave Griffing to, as we
       discussed, a lot of people.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: You want it published; is that right?
 6
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, please, Judge.
7
                THE COURT: All right. Good to publish.
 8
       Q
             Do you see it, sir?
 9
             I do.
10
             Okay. And not talking about the attachment, this is
11
       the e-mail that had the proposed legislative proposal that
12
       was circulated by Mr. Griffing, yes?
13
             I recall we discussed it yesterday, yeah.
14
             And when I say "it was sent to a lot of people," that
15
       included, do you see the name Brian Colbert? It's about a
16
       little more than halfway down?
17
             Oh, yes, I see it's highlighted now.
18
             And Brian Colbert, his e-mail shows he's an employee
       of Alvarez & Marsal?
19
20
             Yes, his e-mail lists @alvarezandmarsal.com.
21
             Do you recognize Alvarez & Marsal being the financial
22
       firm that was advising FirstEnergy Solutions through
23
       bankruptcy?
24
             I recall there were a number of them that were
25
       advising FirstEnergy through this period. Like I recognize,
```

```
1
       for example, Akin Gump. I believe Alvarez & Marsal is one
 2
       of them.
 3
             Okay, okay. You recognize Akin Gump was their
 4
       bankruptcy lawyer, yes?
 5
             I think they provided a few different services. I
       believe that was one of them.
 6
7
       0
             Okay. All right. And a legislative fix, that would
 8
       help them economically, would be something that they could
 9
       present to creditors in the bankruptcy court to prevent the
10
       creditors from calling loans, among other things, yes?
11
             I'm sorry, would you say that again?
       Α
12
             I don't think I can. How about if I rephrase it?
       Q
13
             Sure, that's fine.
14
             Okay. Proposed legislation that would help the
15
       company economically is something that one could show to its
16
       creditors to hopefully get the creditors to back off a
17
       little?
18
             I'm not certain if they were doing it for that
       Α
19
       purpose. Certainly, they could try.
20
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Government Exhibit 424,
21
       page 18, please. Judge, this exhibit has been admitted. I
22
       ask it be published?
23
                THE COURT: Yes.
24
             Okay. This shows Mr. Householder as chair of the
25
       Rules and Reference Committee?
```

1 Α It does. 2 And you indicated in your direct examination that as 3 chair, he could make himself chairman of the rules 4 committee, yes? 5 As chairman he could make himself the chairman of the 6 rules --No, no, as Speaker of the House. 7 8 Oh, I see. Yes, I believe those assignments all come 9 from the Speaker. 10 Isn't it true that the Speaker of the House has always 11 been chair of the rules committee, not just with 12 Mr. Householder, with Mr. Rosenberger, with 13 then-Representative Husted? 14 I don't know if it's always the case. I know that 15 others have been. 16 Okay. And House Bill 6 -- you can take this down. 17 House Bill 6 was amended in both the House and the Senate, 18 yes? 19 Correct. Α 20 Okay. And that's -- having a bill in the House or in 21 the Senate amended is a normal part of the legislative 22 process, isn't it? 23 I would say that it does happen, yes. Α 24 Well, it happens more often than not, doesn't it? 25 I don't review all of the pieces of legislation that Α

```
1
       pass through. The focussing investigation was Generation
 2
       Now and House Bill 6. I know that it was. I don't know how
 3
       commonly one or another is -- other bills are done. I don't
 4
       look at those.
 5
             Well, you're aware that between the House and the
 6
       Senate, there were hours and hours of both testimony and
7
       debate, yes?
             Testimony, yes, certainly.
 8
       Α
 9
                MR. GLICKMAN: See Government Exhibit 517 D,
10
       please, which I believe has been admitted in evidence.
11
       0
             Do you --
12
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we publish this, please,
13
       Judge?
14
                THE COURT: Yes.
15
       Q
             Could we -- this is a text message between
16
       Mr. Householder and Mr. Jones, yes?
17
             Correct.
       Α
18
             Okay. And you read this testimony -- this text
19
       message to the jury; do you remember that?
20
             I do.
21
             Okay. So the text message from Mr. Jones is: Thank
22
       you for your leadership. Bob and Betty are better off under
23
       your watch than they have been in a long time. "Bob and
24
       Betty" means Bob and Betty Buckeye?
25
             Yes. I had mentioned that before.
       Α
```

```
1
             Yeah. That just means average Ohioan, yes?
       Q
 2
             It's a euphemism for an average Joe.
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Can we see Government
       Exhibit 643, please?
 4
 5
             Okay. And, sir, you went over the first page of this,
 6
       of this document with the jury.
7
                MR. GLICKMAN: Can we go to page 2? Yeah.
 8
            (Off-the-record discussion.)
 9
                THE COURT: Just a heads-up on time. Going to
10
       recess at about 10:30.
11
                MR. GLICKMAN: It would be a good point to break
12
       after this is over, Judge. Could we publish this to the
13
       jury, please? And blow up --
14
                THE COURT: It's admitted, yes?
15
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes.
16
                THE COURT: You may publish.
17
                MR. GLICKMAN: Can you blow that up, please?
             Could you read what Mr. Jones said to the -- said to
18
19
       Mr. Householder on page 2, please?
20
             Yes. From Charles Jones, owner: Great news.
21
       almost sorry I helped FES. They've turned into real dicks
22
       on the final separation from us. We helped them get $1B and
23
       it's like we're now the enemy, but still the right thing for
24
       Bob and Betty.
25
             That's the average Joe Ohioan that you talked about
       Q
```

```
1
       earlier?
 2
             Yes, we talked about that a couple of times now.
 3
             And that's Charles Jones talking about FirstEnergy
       Solutions?
 4
 5
             Yes. He says about FES.
                MR. GLICKMAN: This is a good time to break, if you
 6
       would like, Judge.
7
 8
                THE COURT: Thank you. Going to break earlier than
 9
       normal. Get you upstairs. Take a break. During the time
10
       of the break, take a break. No discussion of the case among
11
       yourselves or with anyone else. No independent research.
12
       Continue to keep an open mind. It being Friday, out of
13
       respect for you, we'll rise as you leave for the mid-morning
14
       break.
15
                THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
            (Jury exited the courtroom at 10:28 a.m.)
16
17
                THE COURT: Jury has left the room. As always,
18
       we'll remain in the courtroom until we're advised that the
19
       jury has cleared the floor. We'll take a 20-minute break to
20
       10 of 11.
21
                THE DEPUTY: All clear, Judge.
22
                THE COURT: Are they reluctant to take the
23
       elevator?
2.4
                THE DEPUTY: A little bit.
25
                THE COURT: Very well. We're on break until 10 of
```

```
1
       11.
2
                THE DEPUTY: All rise. This court is in recess.
 3
            (Recess taken from 10:29 a.m. to 10:50 a.m.)
                THE DEPUTY: All rise. This court is in session
 4
 5
       pursuant to the recess.
                THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Are we
 6
       ready for the jury from the government's perspective?
7
 8
                MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor. Thanks.
                THE COURT: Mr. Householder's?
 9
10
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, Judge.
11
                THE COURT: Mr. Borges'?
12
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes.
13
                THE COURT: Very well. Let's call for the jury.
14
       Going to try to break at noon for lunch.
15
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, Judge. New vendor today,
16
       Judge?
17
                THE COURT: Actually, we ordered the food early.
18
       I'm trying to get them down here before they discover food
19
       has arrived and we'll break at 12.
20
            On the record. Agent, the hard copies of the exhibits
21
       are near you on the bookshelf, if you need them when we're
22
       scrolling, if that's easier.
23
                THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
24
                THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
25
            (Jury entered the courtroom at 10:52 a.m.)
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Jurors can be seated as they join us.
2
       Thank you. You may all be seated. Thank you. All 14
 3
       jurors are back. I'm not aware of any further apology I
 4
       need to make at this time. I appreciate your close
 5
       attention.
 6
            And, Mr. Glickman, you may proceed with your
       examination.
7
 8
                MR. GLICKMAN: Thanks, Judge.
 9
            Let's pull Government's Exhibit 643 back up, please.
10
       This is a text message between Mr. Householder and
11
       Mr. Jones. Judge, can we publish this? It's in evidence.
12
                THE COURT: Yes.
13
             Between Mr. Householder and Mr. Jones that we talked
14
       about earlier?
15
       Α
             Yes, that's correct.
16
                MR. GLICKMAN: Going to page 2, can you blow up
17
       that box, please?
18
             The date was January 22nd, 2020?
19
             It is, yes.
       Α
20
             Okay. And the text message chain, it's just between
21
       Mr. Jones and Mr. Householder, right?
22
       Α
             That's my understanding.
23
             Well, let's look at the first page just to confirm,
       Q
24
       okay?
25
             That's right, yeah. It's listed as Charles Jones is
       Α
```

```
1
       the owner and Larry Householder is the other participant.
 2
             Okay. Fair enough. Thank you.
 3
                MR. GLICKMAN: Can we go to Householder
       Exhibit 289, please, not to be published?
 4
 5
             Okay. Sir, do you recognize this as a document that
 6
       was turned over to the government pursuant to a Grand Jury
7
       subpoena by FirstEnergy?
 8
             I know there were messages between these individuals.
 9
       I don't recall this one.
10
                MR. GLICKMAN: Go to page 2, please.
11
             This is an e-mail from Mr. Longstreth to Mr. Cespedes,
       0
12
       yes?
13
             Yes, that's right.
       Α
14
             July 3rd, 2019?
       Q
15
       Α
             Correct.
16
             And this is during the period of the -- I can't
       Q
17
       remember what it was referred to, but the referendum period,
18
       for lack of a better word?
19
       Α
             No, that's not correct.
20
             No?
       Q
21
             No. This is July 3rd. The bill hasn't passed yet.
       Α
22
       Q
             Oh, but this is an e-mail showing that people were
23
       considering the referendum, yes?
24
             Yeah. It talks about buying out petition circulating
25
       companies.
```

1 Okay. So petition circulating companies are companies Q 2 often that work nationally? 3 Many of them do, yeah. 4 And they hire people to get enough signatures to get a 5 petition filed for whatever cause that they're either 6 supporting or not supporting, yes? 7 Α Correct. 8 Okay. And there are a number of firms who do this 9 kind of work, FieldWorks being one? 10 Correct. Α 11 Okay. All right. And there's no prohibition, is 12 there, to somebody hiring them to prevent the opponent from 13 hiring them? 14 I'm not aware of a prohibition. 15 Q Okay. In fact, sir, during your investigation, didn't 16 you, didn't you come to learn that that's a pretty common 17 tactic in these things? 18 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, objection. Pretrial 19 ruling. 20 THE COURT: I didn't hear the basis, I'm sorry. 21 MS. GLATFELTER: Pretrial ruling. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. I may jump in if we get 23 into something that concerns me. 24 Do you recall the question, sir? Q 25 No, if you wouldn't mind. Α

1 Sure. During the course of your investigation, you Q 2 learned that hiring these signature firms to prevent others 3 from hiring them is a pretty common tactic in these things? 4 I don't believe that that's what I learned, no. I am Α 5 familiar that it has happened, but I don't know that I would 6 say it was common. House Bill 6 was signed into law by the governor on 7 8 July 23rd? 9 Correct. 10 And Generation Now, the (c)(4), was highly in favor of 11 House Bill 6; is that fair to say? 12 It was certainly used to support House Bill 6. 13 Okay. And it certainly was opposed to a referendum to 14 get rid of House Bill 6, yes? 15 Α It spent the money to oppose that effort, yes. 16 MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Can you pull up Exhibit 399, 17 please? 18 Okay. Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 399 as a document 19 that was -- that was sent to the government in the course of 20 its investigation? 21 Yeah. This bears the symbol of an Energy Harbor 22 subpoena. 23 But that was a subpoena issued by the government? Q 24 Α Yes. 25 And Energy Harbor responded, yes? Q

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Okay. And beginning at the earlier e-mail, this is an
3	e-mai	l from John Judge, correct?
4	A	Yes, that's what it says.
5	Q	Okay. And dated May 13th, 2019?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Okay. And it's sent to a number of people, correct?
8	A	Yeah, that's right.
9	Q	Including the FirstEnergy Solutions Board of
10	Directors?	
11	A	Yes. Under John Blickle, it says or after, I
12	shoul	d say, it says, "FES Board of Directors," and then "Jim
13	Bolan	d," also "board of directors," is listed after "Jim
14	Bolan	d" as well.
15	Q	And the subject is the FES Board?
16	A	Yeah, "FES Board Approval of Advertising Spend."
17	Q	Okay. And the board members listed here, they're not
18	membe	rs of the board of FirstEnergy, are they?
19	A	I'm not certain. I don't believe so.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	I believe they're not.
22	Q	And John Judge was a member of the board?
23	A	He's the CEO of the company.
24	Q	Well, is he also not a member of the board and I note
25	the s	alutation is, "Dear, Fellow Board Members"?

1	A Yes, that's what he says.
2	Q Okay. All right. Does that refresh your recollection
3	that the CEO is also a member of the board?
4	A It appears that he is in this case.
5	Q Okay. The second paragraph reads: The FirstEnergy
6	services excuse me: FirstEnergy Solutions review
7	committee has approved up to \$15 million of spending subject
8	to approval by the FES board. Do you see that?
9	A I do, first sentence.
10	Q And you were aware in your investigation that at one
11	point, the board actually funded \$15 million for an
12	advertising campaign to support House Bill 6?
13	A In the investigation, we first saw the money and then
14	later, with these documents, saw that there was \$15 million,
15	yes.
16	Q Approved by the FES board?
17	A That's what it says.
18	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, I'd ask that this exhibit be
19	admitted into evidence.
20	THE COURT: Any objection?
21	MS. GLATFELTER: No objection.
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
23	THE COURT: It's admitted.
24	MR. GLICKMAN: Ask that it be published to the
25	jury, please?

1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 Okay. Looking at the second paragraph, Mr. Judge 3 asked the -- indicates the review committee has approved up 4 to \$15 million of spending, correct? That's what it says. 5 And they've been spending one and a half to two and a 6 7 half million per week over the past two weeks? 8 Α Yes. 9 All right. Second to last sentence, he indicates: 10 Given the spending levels, we need to get approval from the 11 FES board, right? 12 That's what it says. 13 Okay. And then he asks that they: Please vote for 14 approval via e-mail or let me know if you think a call to 15 discuss further is necessary, doesn't he? 16 Yes, that's what it says. Α 17 MR. GLICKMAN: Could we go to Exhibit 403, please? 18 Sir, is this a document presented to the government 19 pursuant to a Grand Jury subpoena in the course of your 20 investigation? 21 Yes. It bears the mark of Energy Harbor again. 22 Okay. And in fact, this document is FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.'s regular meeting of its board of directors, 23 24 right? 25 Α That's what it says at the top.

```
1
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. And, Judge, I'd ask that this
2
       exhibit be admitted into evidence.
 3
                THE COURT: Any objection?
                MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
 6
7
       Q
             Okay. And do you see the roll call?
 8
       Α
             I do.
 9
             And, in fact, Mr. Judge is included in the roll call,
10
       yes?
11
             Listed third, yes.
12
                MR. GLICKMAN: All right. And go to page 2,
13
       please.
14
             Do you see dates after May 28, 2019, approval of
       Generation Now donations?
15
16
       Α
             I do.
17
             Whereas upon recommendation by the company's review
18
       committee, the board proposes to donate to Generation Now in
19
       support of House Bill 6 in the Ohio legislature (the
20
       donations) in an aggregate amount not too exceed $15 million
21
       (the spend cap), yes?
22
             That's what it says.
23
             So as of May 28th, 2019, FirstEnergy Solutions had
24
       approved a $15 million spend for an advertising campaign to
25
       support House Bill 6?
```

1 That's what it says. Α 2 Well, that's what happened, yes? 3 Α Yes. Okay. Going back to page 1, now seeing the names of 4 5 the board members, sir, these are not members of the board of FirstEnergy, are they? 6 7 Α No, I don't believe so. 8 MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Go to Exhibit 401, please. 9 Showing you what's been marked Householder 10 Exhibit 401, this document was presented to the government 11 pursuant to its Grand Jury subpoena at Energy Harbor? 12 Α Right. 13 Energy Harbor is the successor to FirstEnergy 14 Solutions, yes? 15 Α Once the companies had separated in February of 2020, 16 it became Energy Harbor. 17 Okay. But these are -- sorry. One sec. Q 18 See the 8/16/19 e-mail from Rick Giannantonio? 19 I think it says the 6th. Α 20 Oh, I'm sorry. I thought I said the 6th, but if I didn't, the August 6th, 2019, e-mail? 21 22 Α That's right. I see it. MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. All right. Judge, ask that 23 24 this exhibit be admitted into evidence and published. 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MS. GLATFELTER: One moment, Your Honor. 2 MR. SCHNEIDER: None here. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, if we may have a 5 sidebar, please? 6 THE COURT: Very well. 7 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE. 8 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, we stand by our 9 objection regarding the advice of counsel and discussion we 10 had yesterday. There was one e-mail with Akin Gump. 11 understood that we weren't highlighting what it was. 12 clearly is an e-mail designed to show that they sought 13 lawyers' advice about this, about some sort of approval and 14 I think it's inappropriate and irrelevant for that matter. 15 THE COURT: Your partner wants to whisper at you. 16 MR. GLICKMAN: The e-mail references an emergency 17 board resolution. I wasn't even planning on asking him who 18 Mr. Giannantonio was. I was using the e-mail to show that 19 an emergency board meeting was called for and then I was 20 going to show the resolution. 21 THE COURT: Does that allay your concerns? 22 MS. GLATFELTER: No, not really. I mean, we 23 preserved hearsay objections too. We are so far outside 24 hearsay here, this is not necessarily -- I mean, the only 25 argument for it being a business record would be they sought

```
1
       the advice of outside counsel and that's why they have the
 2
       e-mail in their records, again, going back to the lawyer
 3
       issue. So I object to, the only people on the e-mail, I
 4
       think are lawyers.
 5
                THE COURT: All right. Let me confer with my law
 6
       clerk.
7
             (Off-the-record discussion.)
 8
                THE COURT: Let's chat again. In order to avoid
 9
       any rat-a-tat-tat, can't you establish that there was an
10
       emergency board resolution through the resting legal
       conclusion and not the e-mail, if that's what you're trying
11
12
       to do?
13
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yeah.
14
                THE COURT: Would you do it in that way so we don't
       have to deal with the e-mail?
15
16
                MR. GLICKMAN: I will, yes, Judge.
17
                THE COURT: All right.
18
       SIDEBAR CONCLUDED.
19
             Sir, as of August 6, 2019, the FirstEnergy Solutions
20
       was still in bankruptcy, right?
21
       Α
             Yes.
22
             Okay. And an emergency -- you're aware through the
23
       course of your investigation that an emergency board meeting
24
       was called for August 7th of 2019?
25
             I'm -- I don't recall specifically the date that the
       Α
```

```
1
       different board meetings for FES occurred.
 2
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we see Exhibit 392,
 3
       please?
 4
             Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 392 as a document
 5
       produced pursuant to your Grand Jury subpoena at Energy
 6
       Harbor?
             Yes, that's what it says.
7
 8
             This is FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. meeting of the
 9
       board of directors?
10
             Yeah. It's a regular meeting, yes, that's right.
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we go to page 42,
11
12
       please?
13
             Okay. Do you see the resolution at the bottom of
14
       page 42?
15
                MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, I'd ask that this document be
16
       admitted into evidence and published?
17
                THE COURT: Any objection?
18
                MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
19
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
20
                THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
                MR. GLICKMAN: Oh, thank you, Judge.
21
22
                Okay. So if we can zoom in on the August 7th,
23
       2019, approval of expenditures for House Bill 6 voter
24
       education initiatives.
25
           Do you see that?
       Q
```

1 Α I do. 2 So on August 7th of 2019, the board of FirstEnergy 3 Solutions considered approval of expenditures for moneys to 4 support House Bill 6? That's what it memorializes. 5 Well, in your investigation, didn't you learn that's 6 7 what happened? 8 Yes, they spent money on the -- I don't remember how 9 they call it, the anti-referendum, yes. 10 MR. GLICKMAN: Can we go to the next page, please? 11 And the first paragraph at the top, if we could zoom in on 12 that, please. 13 So whereas the board proposes to make various 14 expenditures in order to educate Ohio voters on issues 15 related to HB 6, in order to increase public support for HB 16 6, collectively, the HB 6 expenditures in an aggregate 17 amount not to exceed \$25 million, the spend cap? 18 I see it there. Α 19 Okay. So this is the FirstEnergy Solutions board 20 approving an additional \$25 million to support House Bill 6, 21 yes? 22 Α Correct. 23 MR. GLICKMAN: And if we can go to the first page. 24 You recognize the roll call is the same board members 25 as the previous?

1 Α Yes, it's the same. 2 MR. GLICKMAN: Go to Householder Exhibit 181, 3 please. 4 Okay. Sir, do you recognize this, this Householder 5 Exhibit 181, as a document that was provided to you pursuant 6 to your Grand Jury subpoena to FirstEnergy? That's what it says, yes. 7 Α 8 MR. GLICKMAN: And let's go to page 2. 9 This is a 2019 contribution form for Generation Now? Q 10 Yes. Α 11 You've seen this, this type of form before in your 12 investigation, yes? 13 Yes. Several types, but yeah. 14 Okay. And the name here, "David Griffing," is that 15 the same David Griffing from -- that we've read e-mails 16 from? 17 Correct. Α 18 Okay. And if we can --19 MR. GLICKMAN: Can we scroll down to the next page, 20 please? 21 And Generation Now's situation analysis, have you seen 22 this document, sir? 23 Yes. We looked at, I think, a version of this from a 24 different location. 25 MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Judge, I'd ask that this

```
1
       exhibit be admitted in evidence?
2
                THE COURT: Any objection?
 3
                MS. GLATFELTER: No. It's partly already admitted,
 4
       so --
 5
                THE COURT: Very well.
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No objection.
 6
             So the situation analysis --
7
       Q
 8
                THE COURT: It's admitted. Do you want to publish
 9
       it?
10
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, please.
11
                THE COURT: It may be published.
12
             Okay. Situation analysis: Out-of-state gas and wind
13
       energy interests are working to defeat House Bill 6, HB 6.
14
       We're looking to expose them and protect our members against
15
       whom they are running ads.
16
             That's what Generation Now listed as a situation
17
       analysis?
18
             Yes, it's listed under situation analysis.
       Α
19
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Go back to the full exhibit,
20
       please.
21
             So this is -- this document is a strategy for
22
       FirstEnergy to use phone patching, digital, texting,
23
       television, and radio to help support House Bill 6, right?
24
             I think this is -- I'm sorry, could you rephrase that
25
       for me?
```

2	MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
	Mo. GEATTEDIEN. TOUT HOHOT, I'M GOING to Object.
3	On direct testimony, this witness read the documents that he
4	received from a Grand Jury subpoena. The difference of
5	what's going on now is he's being asked to draw conclusions
6	based on the text of documents that he didn't author.
7	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, the document is part of his
8	investigation. I don't believe I'm required to have him
9	read the document into the record with every document that
10	I'm using.
11	THE COURT: No. Ask about the investigation only
12	or its relevance to the investigation.
13	MR. GLICKMAN: Okay.
14	Q Your investigation showed that Generation Now created
15	a campaign to support House Bill 6, yes?
16	A In yes. During this period, which the timeline
17	lists there, Generation Now spent money to help House Bill
18	6.
19	And they spent money in a number of ways, didn't they?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Radio?
22	A Yes.
23	Q TV?
24	A Yes.

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Direct mail?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Phone patching?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. And that's all laid out in this document, isn't
7	it?	
8	A	Yes. There are targeted communications campaigns and
9	then ı	under that lists a variety of techniques they want to
10	use.	
11		MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we see Householder
12	Exhib	it 379, please?
13	Q	Do you recognize this document as a document the
14	gover	nment obtained through a Grand Jury subpoena to the
15	Lincol	In Strategy Group?
16	A	Yes. It bears that at the bottom right.
17	Q	And the Lincoln Strategy Group is a company, is a
18	compai	ny that was used to attempt to hire away signature
19	gathe	rers, yes?
20	A	Yes. Generation Now passed money to Ohioans For
21	Energy	y Security and then they hired this company for that
22	purpos	se.
23		MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Give me one second. Go to
24	page 2	2, please. Judge, I'd ask that this be entered into
25	evide	nce?

```
1
                THE COURT: Any objection?
 2
                MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
 3
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
 4
 5
                MR. GLICKMAN: Publish, please, Judge?
 6
                THE COURT: Yes.
7
                MR. GLICKMAN: All right. Could we highlight under
 8
      here is the process, No. 2, please?
 9
             So this is the Lincoln Strategy Group indicating to
10
       its employees what it should do when calling the signature
11
      gatherers in attempting to hire them away, isn't it?
12
             I'm not sure if Opal is an employee of the Lincoln
13
       Strategy Group. I think he was hired separately.
14
             Okay. Well, let's just read it, then. Sample script
      0
15
      text, right?
16
      Α
             Yes.
17
             Okay. Go ahead and read it.
18
             Hey, my name is Opal -- or, quote: Hey, my name is
19
      Opal and I'm with Ohioans For Energy Security. Are you
20
       currently in OH? Close quote. If yes, quote, great, can we
       talk, close quote? Make the phone call. And then it lists
21
22
      several bullets below.
             Well, what's -- the first bullet point where it says,
23
24
      quote, are you currently under contract, what -- sorry.
25
           Go ahead, I'm sorry.
      Α
```

1 Q Where it says: Are you currently under contract? 2 yes, notate in the spreadsheet and thank them for their time 3 and hang up; that's what it says, right? 4 Correct. Α 5 Okay. And, in fact, if they're not under contract, the next bullet point says I'd like to offer you a deal, and 6 they attempt to hire them away, yes? 7 8 Α Yes. It says: "I'm prepared to offer you \$2,500 and 9 a plane ticket home if you sign on with us and leave Ohio 10 today." 11 But it specifically indicates not to interfere with an 12 existing contract, doesn't it? 13 It does not say that. It says just ask and if they 14 note yes, thank them for their time and hang up or no, and 15 then offer a deal. 16 Sir, thanking them for their time and hanging up is 17 essentially not interfering with the contract, right? 18 MS. GLATFELTER: Objection, Your Honor, 19 argumentive. 20 THE COURT: Sustained as to opinion and 21 argumentive. 22 Were you provided a recorded call from Advanced Micro 23 Targeting? 24 I beg your pardon? 25 Were you provided a recorded telephone call from Q

```
1
      Advanced Micro Targeting?
 2
            We received a number of records from them pursuant to
 3
      subpoena. I believe, I believe that was one of them.
 4
               MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Can we see Exhibit 417,
 5
      please?
 6
            Is this a document you received pursuant to your Grand
       Jury subpoena to Advanced Micro Targeting?
7
 8
      A Yes.
 9
            Okay. And this is -- this is just an e-mail you
10
      received. Who's Doug Gray?
11
             I believe he was working with the referendum group at
      the time of this e-mail.
12
13
           Okay. All right. And is there -- I apologize, I'm
14
      sorry.
15
             The e-mail reads: This is what happens if you say
16
      you're under contract?
17
      A Yes, the top e-mail.
18
               MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Can I see Exhibit 418,
19
      please? I'm sorry, one moment. Your Honor, I'd ask that
20
      this be admitted into evidence?
21
                THE COURT: Any objection?
22
               MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor.
23
               MR. SCHNEIDER: No.
24
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
25
               MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. And publish, please, Judge?
```

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Just for counsel, the Court,
3	and the witness, can we see Exhibit 418?
4	Q Exhibit 418, you said you received an audio file from
5	Advanced Micro Targeting?
6	A Yes. I believe among other documents and things they
7	provided, yes.
8	Q And one of the audio files was a recording of a call
9	where a signature gatherer indicated they were under
10	contract?
11	A I'm not certain. I think that's right.
12	Q Would it refresh your recollection to hear the call?
13	A It may.
14	MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, I'm not sure how to have him
15	hear the call. I guess this is Exhibit 418, Householder
16	418. I'd move to admit it if there's no objection from the
17	government?
18	MS. GLATFELTER: Right. There's no objection. We
19	can just go ahead. Thank you.
20	MR. GLICKMAN: Great.
21	THE COURT: It's admitted. You can publish it by
22	playing it, if that's what you wish.
23	MR. GLICKMAN: It is, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Very well.
25	MR. GLICKMAN: Thank you.

```
1
            (Recording playing.)
 2
             Okay. That's one of the recordings you received, yes?
       0
 3
             It is.
       Α
 4
             Okay. My outline says "yesterday," but many days ago,
 5
       on direct examination, you -- I believe you indicated that
       David Griffing was Juan Cespedes' supervisor. Just to be
 6
7
       clear, sir, Juan Cespedes is not employed by any FirstEnergy
 8
       entity, is he?
 9
             I believe that he was Juan's supervisor but Juan is an
10
       outside lobbyist. He works for a different firm that was
11
       hired by the company.
12
             I just want to be clear that Juan Cespedes works for a
13
       company other than FirstEnergy, yes?
14
             Yes, that's correct.
       Α
15
       Q
             And during this period of time, that company was the
16
       Oxley Group?
17
       Α
             Yes.
18
             Okay. And the Oxley Group is not an affiliate or a
19
       subsidiary of FirstEnergy; it's an outside contractor?
20
             Yes. It's an outside lobbying firm. Perhaps a better
21
       way to say it is it was his direct report.
22
       Q
             Fine. I just -- fine.
23
                MR. GLICKMAN: Can we see Exhibit 501, please?
24
             Okay. Exhibit 501 is a document provided to you
25
       pursuant to your Grand Jury subpoena to Megan Fitzmartin?
```

```
1
       Α
             Fitzmartin, yes.
2
             The e-mail dated June 3rd, 2019?
 3
             That's correct.
       Α
             Okay. And it has a meeting attached, meeting agenda
 4
       0
       listed under attachments?
 5
 6
       Α
             Correct.
7
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we see page 2, please?
 8
       Q
             Is this the attachment?
 9
             Yes, that's right.
10
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Judge, I'd ask this exhibit
11
       be admitted into evidence?
12
                THE COURT: Any objection?
13
                MS. GLATFELTER: It's admitted already, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Very well. Would you like to publish
15
       it?
16
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, please.
17
                THE COURT: Publish, please.
18
             This lists a Generation Now, Inc., meeting Monday,
       0
19
       June 3rd, 2019?
20
             That's what it says at the top, yes.
21
             Okay. And it's -- the first thing is a recap of HB 6
22
       strategy for the House?
23
       Α
             Yes.
24
             Okay. And it lists both member feedback and
25
       opposition strategy?
```

1 Α Correct. I believe we went through this, yeah. 2 Okay. And it also has a strategy for the Senate? 0 3 It lists that as Bullet Point No. 2. Α And then it lists "needs moving forward," yes? 4 0 5 Correct. 6 Okay. And that includes the communications plan 7 involving the various media that we discussed earlier? 8 Α Yes. It lists a variety of different kinds of media, 9 excuse me, under the communications plan. 10 Okay. Well, sir, there was a large -- take out the 11 word large. There was a media effort by opponents of House 12 Bill 6, wasn't there? 13 I recall seeing commercials, yes, and mail and things. 14 Well, we heard on direct examination about an 15 organization called Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts, yes? 16 Α Correct. 17 That was an organization that was against House Bill 0 18 6? 19 Yes, although, I believe it formed after the bill had 20 That was the group that was doing the referendum 21 This is the timeline when the bill is still pending 22 in the Ohio Legislature. 23 Okay. But, so let's focus then on the referendum 24 period of time. Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts was 25 mounting a campaign against House Bill 6 and for the

1	referendum, yes?
2	A They were the referendum effort. They were trying to
3	get, collect enough signatures to get the measure placed on
4	the ballot, yes.
5	Q Well, they did more than just try to collect
6	signatures, didn't they, didn't they run a media campaign?
7	A I'm not aware of I believe what Ohioans Against
8	Corporate Bailouts did was run the effort to collect the
9	signatures to create a citizens petition.
10	Q And Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts, what kind of
11	an entity is that?
12	A You know, I'm I'm not certain what legal variety of
13	entity it is.
14	Q Okay. Who funded it?
15	A I'm not certain either. I know there's a lot of
16	speculation and folks talked about it. That wasn't the
17	focus of our investigation.
18	Q Did you take any steps to determine who was funding
19	Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts?
20	A The investigation was into Generation Now and
21	Mr. Householder and House Bill 6 and FirstEnergy; it was not
22	into Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts.
23	Q Now, let me ask my questions again. Did you take any
24	steps to determine who funded Ohioans Against Corporate
25	Bailouts?

1	A	No.	
2	Q	Okay. You've testified about a Form 15 that's	
3	neces	sary for signature gatherers, yes?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	So Form 15 has to be if I want to be a signature	
6	gathe	rer in the state of Ohio, I have to fill out a form	
7	givin	g them my identity, my address, and file it with the	
8	State	of Ohio, right?	
9	A	Secretary of State's office.	
10	Q	Okay. And under Ohio law, felons are not permitted to	
11	be si	be signature gatherers, are they?	
12	A	I'm not certain that I know that. That may be the	
13	case.	I don't know.	
14	Q	Okay. So you did not learn in your investigation that	
15	there	were felons collecting signatures?	
16	A	I did learn that there was background checks paid for	
17	by Ge	neration Now and some of its affiliated entities to	
18	learn	if they had criminal backgrounds, yes.	
19		MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Can we see Exhibit 609 F,	
20	pleas	e? This is already admitted into evidence, Judge.	
21		THE COURT: Okay.	
22		MR. GLICKMAN: May we publish it, please?	
23		THE COURT: Yes.	
24	Q	This is the audio script and the video script that you	
25	testi	fied about earlier, isn't it?	

1	A Yes, this is one of the scripts that we talked about.
2	Q And it says: Criminals seeking name, address and
3	signature, among other things, right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. Well, if in fact felons were hired as signature
6	gatherers, that statement is accurate, isn't it?
7	A Yeah, if someone has a well, the only reason why
8	I'm hesitating is, I don't know that a person who has a
9	felony is a criminal forever, but I suppose that's
10	semantics, but yes, there are people with criminal records
11	seeking to collect signatures.
12	MR. GLICKMAN: Can we see 609 F, please? It's also
13	admitted into evidence and publish.
14	THE COURT: 609 F?
15	MR. GLICKMAN: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. GLICKMAN: Oh, this is F. 609 C.
18	THE COURT: Very well. It's been admitted and you
19	wish to publish; is that right?
20	MR. GLICKMAN: Please.
21	THE COURT: Go ahead.
22	Q You testified about this document during your direct
23	examination?
24	A Yes. This was a draft advertisement created for
25	Ohioans For Energy Security.

The photos of these people, those are actually photos 1 Q 2 of signature gatherers, aren't they? 3 My understanding is that the group after finding, 4 using their research, that folks were -- felons went and 5 tried to retrieve their mugshots. And did? 6 Q 7 It appears so. I did not verify whether or not those 8 are accurately their mugshots. 9 You didn't, you didn't investigate that at all? 10 Α No. 11 0 Okay. 12 MR. GLICKMAN: Exhibit 608 J, please. Your Honor, 13 608 J has already been admitted into evidence, I think. 14 looking. 15 MS. GAFFNEY-PAINTER: That's correct. 16 MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, it has. May it be published, 17 please? 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 Q This is a text message chain between Mr. Borges and 20 Mr. Cespedes? 21 Α Correct. 22 MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could you blow up the middle 23 bullet point, please? 24 It says, "McTique involvement is good also." Who is 25 Don McTique?

```
1
                MS. GLATFELTER: Objection, Your Honor.
 2
                THE COURT: Sustained.
 3
             You were asked questions about Mr. Householder's
       communications with David Yost, the Ohio Attorney General;
 4
 5
       do you recall those?
 6
             Yes.
       Α
7
             And there was -- you showed some phone contact between
 8
       the two?
 9
           Correct.
10
             Okay. Without pulling -- without reshowing the
11
       exhibit, which we've all seen a lot of, David Yost is the
12
       Ohio Attorney General and at the time Mr. Householder was
13
       the Speaker of the House of representatives?
14
             Correct.
       Α
15
       Q
             Okay. And you testified earlier, you did not attempt
       to obtain a Title III warrant for Mr. Householder's phone?
16
17
             I did not.
       Α
18
             So, but had such a warrant existed, we would know
19
       exactly what was said between the two, wouldn't we?
20
             Possibly. Depending if it was pertinent and we
21
       recorded it.
22
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Could we see Exhibit 613 E,
23
       please? Judge, this document has been admitted into
24
       evidence. I ask that it be published?
25
                THE COURT: Very well. Yes.
```

```
1
             Okay. This text message is between Mr. Borges,
       Q
 2
       Mr. Longstreth, Mr. Cespedes, Mr. Clark, yes?
 3
             Yes, that's right.
       Α
 4
             Okay. And it's from Mr. Borges' phone?
 5
             Correct.
 6
             Okay. And this is about FieldWorks, yes?
             Yes. It says: "John's not up-to-date FieldWorks
7
 8
       report." Yes.
 9
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Can we go to the next page?
10
       So can we blow up the first bullet point?
11
             "SLH," that's Larry Householder?
12
             Yes. They referred to Larry Householder that way in
13
       text messages.
14
             It says: He will have a great idea but he needs to be
15
       briefed; is that essentially what it says?
16
             It says: "SLH will have a great idea how to solve
17
       this. He needs to be briefed first."
18
                MR. GLICKMAN: And then the next -- pull up the
19
       next one, please.
20
             And Mr. Cespedes states that: "I agree that SLH needs
       included," correct?
21
22
       Α
             Yes.
23
             So at least as of September 10th, 2019, at 6:44 p.m.,
24
       Mr. Householder had not been briefed yet?
25
             I don't know briefed into what specifically. It's in
       Α
```

1 the same thread as the FieldWorks, so it could be a daily 2 report or something along those lines. I'm not sure. 3 Okay. You testified earlier about payments that were 4 made to Ms. Fitzmartin and Ms. Lippincott, right? 5 Right. Α They're actually made to their LLC's, right? 6 7 Α That's my understanding. 8 And the money that went to their LLC's was used among 9 other things to pay for the expenses of their respective 10 businesses? 11 I believe so. 12 Okay. You spent some time talking about moneys that 13 went from Mr. Longstreth's company, JPL, to -- not to, but for the benefit of Mr. Householder? 14 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. Credit card bills? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 And work done on a home in Florida? 19 Correct. Α 20 Okay. Sir, didn't you learn through the course of 21 investigation that that was money Mr. Longstreth lent to 22 Mr. Householder? 23 That's not my understanding. Α 24 Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Householder and 25 Mr. Longstreth were setting up a business together?

1 Α I recall reviewing some communications to that effect. 2 Well, didn't you learn through the course of your 3 investigation that they had had documents prepared to start a business together? 4 5 I believe that they were prepared in 2018, that's 6 right. 7 Q Did you see those documents? 8 Α I did. 9 So you knew they were at least contemplating starting 10 a business together? 11 They had them drafted. I don't believe they were ever Α 12 executed. 13 Okay. And didn't you learn through your investigation 14 that the moneys were to be repaid upon the sale of the home? 15 Α That is not my understanding. Well, didn't you interview -- didn't you, as part of 16 Q 17 your investigation, interview the head -- I don't know if 18 he's CEO or owner -- of Nordic Construction? 19 I believe that the FBI spoke with him. I can't recall Α 20 specifically what he told us about that, like the side 21 business arrangement or that. I know he talked about the 22 home, though. 23 Well, wasn't there a lien placed on the home related 24 to the lawsuit that you testified about earlier? 25 Α Correct.

Q And with the lien on the home, it in Florida, it	
effectively couldn't be sold because a person couldn't get a	
mortgage on the home?	
A I'm not certain how the Florida law connects with	
that. It may just be that the lien has to be paid by the	
proceeds. I don't know how that works.	
Q Well, certainly, the home sold for more than enough	
money to repay the moneys Mr. Longstreth paid, didn't it?	
A It sold after it was repaired for I think \$690,000	
that we talked about.	
Q And the well, wasn't the money used that	
Mr. Longstreth contributed to repair the home part of your	
calculation of the moneys paid for Mr. Householder's	
benefit?	
A Correct.	
Q And it was significantly less than \$690,000 in total,	
yes?	
A I think it was around a \$150,000, that's right.	
Q So, and you do know that the home was damaged in a	
hurricane?	
A Correct.	
Q And that was one of the reasons for repairs, yes?	
A That's my understanding.	
MR. GLICKMAN: Can we see Exhibit 642, please?	
This has been admitted into evidence, Judge, and I'd ask	

1 that it be published? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 You recall reading part of this exhibit to the jury on 4 direct examination, right? Correct. This was a press release or a press 5 6 statement by Speaker Householder. From October 21st, 2019? 7 Q 8 Α Correct. 9 Why don't you read the whole thing, please? 10 Speaker Householder issues statement on House Bill 6. Ohio House Speaker Larry Householder, R-Glenford, issued the 11 12 following statement today in response to news that backers 13 of a referendum on House Bill 6 have failed to garner 14 sufficient support to place that issue on the ballot. 15 Quote: I am pleased that House Bill 6 will go into 16 effect at midnight tonight and I am confident it will 17 produce positive results for Ohio. First, HB 6 will save 18 the operation of two Ohio nuclear plants that produce 19 15 percent of Ohio's power, employ thousands of Ohio 20 workers, and generate 90 percent of all carbon-free 21 electricity generated in Ohio. 22 House Bill 6 will also benefit Ohio's solar power 23 industry. These solar projects that will be made possible 24 by House Bill 6 will generate far more solar power than has 25 been produced in all of the years since the failed, quote,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
RPS, close quote, bill passed in 2008. Excuse me.
electric customers will save money because of House Bill 6,
which will trigger a net reduction in charges on residential
and business electric bills.
     Despite the claims of a well-coordinated environmental
lobby, the RPS approach, though well-intended, has not
worked for Ohio as promised. To a great extent, utilities
have diverted money from Ohio customers to renewable
projects in other states and expensive renewable energy
credits paid for our businesses have gone to wind producers
in Texas instead of making Ohio investments. Ohio needs to
develop a better approach to achieve results in our state
with respect to renewable energy. We should be focused on
energy policy that works for Ohio and Ohioans. That's what
HB 6 begins to do. The Ohio House looks forward to working
on future energy legislation which benefits our state and
its people. Close quote.
         MR. GLICKMAN: Can I have one moment, Judge?
         THE COURT: Yes.
     (Pause.)
         MR. GLICKMAN: See Householder Exhibit 289, please?
Q
      Sir, do you recognize this document as one that was
provided to you pursuant to a Grand Jury subpoena?
      Did you show me this?
         THE WITNESS: I apologize, Your Honor.
```

```
I believe we spoke about this earlier.
1
       Α
2
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Judge, I move to admit this
 3
       exhibit into evidence.
 4
                THE COURT: Is there any objection?
 5
                MS. GLATFELTER: One moment, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Very well.
 6
 7
            (Pause.)
 8
                MS. GLATFELTER: No objection.
 9
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No objection.
10
                THE COURT: It's admitted.
11
                MR. GLICKMAN: Could we publish it for the jury,
12
       please?
13
                THE COURT: Yes.
14
             And this is an e-mail from Mr. Judge, who you
15
       indicated was head of FirstEnergy Solutions?
16
       Α
             The CEO, yes.
17
             And this is an e-mail reflecting that the board has
18
       pre-approved the additional spend, thanks, right?
19
             That's what it says.
       Α
20
                MR. GLICKMAN: Okay. Your Honor, I don't have
21
       anything further.
22
                THE COURT: Very well.
23
                MR. GLICKMAN: Can I just have a moment to unplug?
24
                THE COURT: Yes, yes.
25
            (Pause.)
```

1 THE COURT: Mr. Glickman has completed his 2 examination of this witness on behalf of Mr. Householder. 3 Counsel for Mr. Borges prepared to proceed? MR. SCHNEIDER: I can begin. I understand we have 4 5 a noon break, but I can begin. 6 THE COURT: Very well. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. SCHNEIDER: 9 Mr. Wetzel, you several times this morning indicated 10 your focus, you said certain things were not your focus, and 11 then you indicated what your focus was. Your primary focus 12 in this investigation was whether or not FirstEnergy bribed 13 Larry Householder in exchange for legislation, right, that 14 was your primary focus? 15 Α That's what we began with and then he obviously 16 expanded outward as we learned new things. 17 But you would agree that your investigation is not 18 about whether Gen Now is allowed to spend money to support 19 or oppose candidates, right? 20 The (c)(4)s machinations are not within the FBI's 21 purview. 22 Okay. And then just one thing here to start with, but 23 during the course of investigation -- sort of heard this. 24 During the course of your investigation, did you discover 25 any texts, any e-mail, or other documents that indicated

1 that Matt Borges was aware of sources of funding for 2 Generation Now before April of '19, any document? 3 Before April of '19? I apologize, that's a really specific question. I do remember that he advises someone 4 5 that Generation Now is Mr. Householder. I'm not certain if 6 they discussed the funding. 7 Do you have any evidence that Matt Borges knew of 8 Generation Now funding, funding sources prior to April of 9 119? 10 Without reviewing the documents, I don't know that I 11 can answer that. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Could we have Borges 254, 13 please? This has not been admitted. 14 Agent Wetzel, can you identify that exhibit? 15 Yes. This is a source report. 16 Okay. And tell the Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, 17 what's a source report? 18 So there's a variety of terms. We talked about CHS, Α 19 things like that, that's a confidential human source or a 20 sort of shorthand as source. This is a report that is 21 written of what a source might tell you. 22 Q Okay. And in this particular case, what is this? 23 This particular document is a source report and then 24 it lists what was discussed with a synopsis. 25 Right. And this is your source, this is a report that Q

1 you made, correct? 2 Yes, I am the author. 3 Okay. And what does it report? CHS provided a copy of their former employment 4 5 contract. CHS advised that it was fairly standard as far as 6 they were aware. 7 Okay. And what's the date of that source report? 8 The 17th of June, 2021. 9 Okay. And is it the 17th? 10 Oh, that's when I submitted it. It was approved on 11 the 22nd, excuse me. 12 Well, hold on. It says "date of contact." Let's 13 start there, what's the date of contact? 14 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 15 the method of questioning here for a document not in 16 evidence. 17 MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, I can clear that up, I think. 18 THE COURT: I'm sure that you can. Thank you. 19 objection is sustained. He's going to rephrase. 20 This is a source report you prepared, correct? 21 That's correct. 22 Okay. And you're aware that this is not a phony 23 report, this is a real report you prepared, correct? 24 Yeah, it's a real report. 25 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. I'd move for the

1	introduction of the exhibit.	
2	THE COURT: Any objection?	
3	MS. GLATFELTER: Of course, I don't object to the	
4	content, but it is objectionable to put the reports of the	
5	agent in. They constitute hearsay, so I'm not sure how it's	
6	admissible.	
7	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm not asking him for hearsay.	
8	I'm asking him whether he received what he reported he	
9	actually received.	
10	THE COURT: Go ahead and ask that question.	
11	Q Did you receive Tyler Fehrman's employment contract	
12	with AMT on July 25th, 2020?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Okay. Did you ever receive his employment contract	
15	prior to July 25th, 2020?	
16	A This just memorializes receiving a physical copy.	
17	Q Did you review his contract prior to July 25th, 2020?	
18	A I don't believe I reviewed it. I believe we did	
19	discuss it.	
20	Q What did you discuss?	
21	A I recall that he when Mr. Borges, in the course of	
22	a meeting brought up the employment contract, I asked him if	
23	he had a contract, and he said that he did and we discussed	
24	it briefly. I don't recall exactly what he told me or if	
25	there was any more detail than that.	

```
1
             Okay. All right. If we could, let's maybe dive right
       Q
 2
       in there.
 3
                MR. SCHNEIDER: PJ, could you pull up 614 B,
       please? It's a transcript, it's a recording made by the FBI
 4
 5
       and we have the transcript binder, but I don't think we need
       to use that yet. And if you could, PJ, could you -- well,
 6
7
       could you flip to page 2?
 8
             Agent, are you with me on the exhibit, do you see the
 9
       exhibit?
10
             I do.
       Α
11
             Okay. All right. And if you -- if you'd go sort of
12
       down towards the bottom and you see Fehrman and you see it
13
       starts with "um," do you see that, two-thirds of the way
14
       down?
15
       Α
             Yes.
16
             Okay. Would you indulge me and read what is
17
       transcribed there as what Tyler said?
18
             Um, but, but, I had a meeting with my attorney this
       Α
19
       past week. I've got more legal stuff to take care of as far
20
       as Nora goes than I thought. And I know I told you that, I
21
       know what you were asking for, in my opinion, was that you
22
       know, decline to sign people really don't, it doesn't work.
23
       Um.
24
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. That's the beauty of a
25
       transcript, obviously, but can you go to page 4, please?
```

1 And then almost the same point where you were on the Q 2 last page, do you see you see where Tyler starts with "but"? 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. Can you read that for us, please? 5 But I basically got, I got screwed big time. Um, when 6 we got divorced, she had like a, basically a wealthy family 7 from Mt. Vernon that was not a fan of mine. And they were 8 like hey, we'll pay all of your legal bills, run him into 9 the ground. Um, so they outspent me and every time they'd 10 come back with a different suggestion related to parenting 11 time, it was like less and less and --12 Okay. And let's, for the benefit of the Ladies and 13 Gentlemen of the Jury, is this a recorded call between Tyler 14 and Matt Borges on September 5th? 15 Α This is a transcript of that, yes. 16 It is. All right. Okay. It's a transcript of that 0 17 call. 18 And you participated in creating the transcript of that call, correct? 19 20 I did, yes. 21 Okay. And through the course of the proceedings, the 22 parties have agreed and stipulated to the transcripts, 23 correct? 24 That's my understanding. Α 25 Right. Okay. Understood. And then after -- after Q

1 Tyler says that, Mr. Borges says, "yeah," but read the 2 following, please. 3 Fehrman: So basically what happened was, I spent Α 4 myself out of money and I got to the end of it and I was, 5 like, I'm out of money, and my attorney said, well, then, we 6 go with what they -- what they submitted to us next. And we 7 agree to that and then we tried to change it in court; and 8 so what I walked away from was paying over \$1,000 a month in 9 child support, getting Nora from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. 10 every other Saturday, that's it. The day after every 11 holiday and that's my time with her. So I wanted to change 12 that for a while and I met with an attorney. 13 Okay. Thank you. 0 14 Up in -- sorry. 15 Q That's fine for right now. So in this conversation, 16 you had already enlisted Tyler as your confidential source, 17 correct? 18 Yes. I had already spoken with him the day prior. 19 And you told us whenever -- a few days ago or whenever 20 it was, that there's some threat involved when you sign up a 21 source, right? 22 Α Yes, I meet with them and there's a process. 23 Okay. And in this, correct me if I'm wrong, but Tyler 24 gets on the phone with Matt Borges and says he's revisiting

his idea and he wants to engage in this conversation,

25

1 correct? 2 Α Correct. 3 Okay. And, well, first of all, was the story he just 4 told Matt Borges true? 5 I'm not certain. We didn't discuss that. Matt asked him, "What's up with your lawyer, what's going on," and this 6 7 is how he replied. 8 Q Well, did you prepare him to say that? 9 Α No. 10 Okay. And you have a source saying that. Did you 11 verify whether or not all of this stuff he was saying was 12 really true? 13 I recall, after listening to this, we discussed it. I 14 did not verify that all of it is true, although, I believe 15 that it is. 16 You believe that it is? 17 I do. He assured me that he had gone through a nasty 18 divorce and that there was an unfavorable child support 19 settlement. I didn't verify the thousand dollars a month or 20 things like that, but he walked me through what had happened 21 after. 22 Did you check the court records at all? 23 Α No. 24 Let me ask you this: So you've got commissioned out 25 of Quantico in early February of '17, is that what you said,

1 roughly? 2 Yes, um-hmm. 3 Okay. Was Tyler Fehrman your first confidential 4 source? 5 No. You had a confidential source prior to September of 6 7 2019? 8 Α Correct. 9 Probably related to something else? 10 Indeed. Α 11 Okay. What do you do if a confidential source -- do 12 you give the confidential source some leeway to mislead or 13 lie to people they talk to? 14 I don't encourage them to lie. I encourage them to 15 tell the truth. They're in whatever situation they're in by 16 virtue of their real life. You know, in this case, 17 Mr. Fehrman had received a solicitation and he had told me 18 about the offer that was made to him. And so my advice to 19 him was, well, let's talk to Mr. Borges and see what he says 20 about the offer that he made. 21 Right. And this was the first of two recorded calls Q 22 on September 5th, right? 23 Α That's my memory. 24 Right. There was a second one that day, was there 25 not?

1 Α Correct. 2 Okay. And would I be incorrect, if I suggested in the 3 second call, Matt Borges asked Tyler Fehrman whether or not he has a contract? 4 I do remember that he asked that. 5 And Matt asked him whether he has a contract in 6 several ensuing text messages, too, doesn't he? 7 8 I think he asked him for the contract in the text 9 messages. 10 Okay. Any significance in that to you in the course 11 of your investigation? 12 I think it's significant. He's trying to find a way 13 that he can pay Mr. Fehrman for inside information from his 14 employer. 15 Okay. All right. You used the term on Monday 16 "protected information." Do you remember using that term? 17 It was your direct examination. 18 I don't recall that specific term, but yeah, yes, I Α 19 understand what it means. 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Can we pull up, please, 624 B? 21 think this has been admitted. 22 MS. GAFFNEY-PAINTER: Yeah. MR. SCHNEIDER: Judge, this has been admitted. Can 23 24 we publish? 25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 Okay. Mr. Wetzel, what is this? Q 2 This is a copy of the employment contract. 3 Okay. And this is the employment contract that you 4 received physically in the end of July of 2020? 5 That's when the report was filed, yes. 6 Well, when did you receive the contract? 7 I believe it was around that time. I do recall that 8 there was an issue with our file management and not to 9 belabor the issues, but this lists the name of a, true name 10 of the source. I originally intended to place this into 11 evidence, but I can't do that because of FBI policy and so 12 there was some back-and-forth about how I could keep this in 13 such a way that Mr. Fehrman would not be exposed in our 14 records and we landed on attaching it to a source report 15 later. And then it was kept in the confidential human source file. 16 17 What was the arrest date in this case? 0 18 They were made in 2020, in the summer. Α 19 July 21st? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. And this source report is July 25th, correct? Q 22 Α I'm not certain. I think it -- I don't recall if it 23 said the 20th or the 25th. It might have said that. 24 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you would, could we go to 25 page 4, PJ, Section 9?

1 Q Agent, do you see that paragraph in the contract that 2 says "confidential information"? 3 I do. Α 4 Okay. And if you go down about half way, it says: 5 Confidential information will not include; do you see that? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q And what does B say, confidential information will not 8 include information that... 9 Was developed entirely on the employee's own time. Α 10 No. B, please, B as in "boy." Oh, I'm sorry. I was reading the second B. I 11 Α 12 apologize. 13 Is now or subsequently becomes generally available to 14 the public through no wrongful act of the employer. 15 Q Okay. And signature counts become public, don't they 16 or could? 17 They do, I believe, eventually become public. Α 18 Okay. And in fact, there was reference to the federal 19 lawsuit in the case in front of Judge Sargus, right, you 20 testified to that? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. Were you in the courtroom the day that Judge 23 Sargus had his hearing? 24 No. Α 25 Okay. Who's Billy Rogers? Q

1	A	He's one of the owners of Advanced Micro Targeting.
2	Q	Okay. Just so we're clear, Advanced Micro Targeting
3	was T	yler's employer?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Did Billy Rogers testify in the Judge Sargus hearing?
6	A	I understand he did.
7	Q	That means yes?
8	A	Yes, it does.
9	Q	Have you seen the transcript?
10	A	I have.
11	Q	He gave signature count testimony, didn't he?
12	A	He did.
13	Q	Well, didn't that information become generally
14	available to the public the minute he testified to it?	
15	A	Yes, and once it becomes public, it's public.
16	Q	Okay. So I don't need to debate this, you know what
17	the p	rovision says, but Billy Rogers made that
18	infor	mation well, Billy Rogers is one of the owners, you
19	said,	of AMT, correct?
20	A	Yes, that's right.
21	Q	And in federal court, just like this under oath, he
22	testi	fied with specifics
23		MS. GLATFELTER: Objection.
24		THE COURT: Basis?
25		MS. GLATFELTER: Hearsay.

THE COURT: Hearsay.
MR. SCHNEIDER: We can put the transcript in.
THE COURT: It's hearsay.
MS. GLATFELTER: Actually, no, you can't.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, he's testified that
Mr. Rogers testified in that proceeding and provided
testimony on signature count. Okay.
Q Any reason to believe, any reason to believe,
Mr. Wetzel, that Billy Rogers' testimony is not public?
A I don't have any reason to believe that.
MR. SCHNEIDER: All right. Would now be a good
time to break?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Thanks.
THE COURT: High noon. Going to break for lunch.
Break for an hour and 15 minutes. During the break, have a
break. Don't discuss the case among yourselves or with
anyone. Do not engage in any independent research.
Continue to keep an open mind. I hope you have a good
lunch. We'll see you at 1:15. We'll rise as you leave.
THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
(Jury exited the courtroom at 12:01 p.m.)
THE COURT: Jury has left the room. As always,
we'll stay in the courtroom until we're advised that they
have cleared the floor. You're welcome to be seated or

```
1
       remain standing as you choose.
 2
            (Pause.)
 3
                THE DEPUTY: All clear, Judge.
                THE COURT: Lunch break. See you soon.
 4
                THE DEPUTY: Court is in recess.
 5
            (Recess taken from 12:02 p.m. to 1:17 p.m.)
 6
7
                THE DEPUTY: All rise. This court is in session
 8
       pursuant to the recess.
                THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Are we
 9
10
       ready for the jury from the government's perspective?
11
                MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Mr. Householder's perspective?
13
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, Judge.
14
                THE COURT: And Mr. Borges'?
15
                MR. SCHNEIDER: (Nodding head.)
16
                THE COURT: Let's call for the jury, please.
17
                THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
18
            (Jury entered the courtroom at 1:20 p.m.)
19
                THE COURT: Jurors can be seated as they join us.
20
       You may all be seated. Thank you. And the jury is back,
21
       all 14. It's Friday afternoon. We're going to continue
22
       with the taking of testimony. Agent is on the stand under
23
       oath.
2.4
            Mr. Schneider, you're welcome to proceed when you're
25
       ready.
```

1	MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	MR. SCHNEIDER: Good afternoon, Mr. Wetzel.
4	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
5	Q When we broke for lunch, I think we had sort of gotten
6	through the first September 5th recorded conversation
7	between Tyler Fehrman and Matt Borges. So what I would like
8	to do is go to the second. There was another one that day,
9	right?
10	A Right.
11	Q I don't think there's a need for the transcript
12	binder, but you have it in front of you, I think Agent. Is
13	that available to you?
14	A No, it's not on my screen.
15	THE COURT: What exhibit are we going to?
16	MR. SCHNEIDER: 614 D.
17	THE COURT: I didn't hear.
18	MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay.
19	THE COURT: Are we going to
20	MR. SCHNEIDER: We have it now. Thank you. It
21	does not need to be published.
22	THE COURT: What exhibit is it so I can look at it?
23	MR. SCHNEIDER: 614 D and it has been admitted.
24	THE COURT: 614 D. Is there a transcript of it?
25	MR. SCHNEIDER: There is.

1		THE COURT: What number is that?
2		MR. SCHNEIDER: That's 614 D.
3		THE COURT: You may proceed.
4		MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
5	Q	Agent, do you have that in front of you on the screen?
6	A	I do.
7	Q	Okay. And is that does this this is the face
8	page	of the recorded session, the second time, on
9	September 5th?	
10	A	Yes, that's right.
11		MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. And if you would go to
12	page 2, please.	
13	Q	And, Agent, just towards the bottom of the page
14		THE COURT: Just so we're clear, the jury doesn't
15	have	this in front of them.
16		MR. SCHNEIDER: Correct.
17		THE COURT: Okay. Very well.
18	Q	Do you see down at the bottom, Agent, where Matt
19	Borge	es the second-to-last reference to Matt talking on
20	this page; do you see that?	
21	A	The second-to-last line is Mr. Borges.
22	Q	Yes.
23	A	I see it.
24	Q	Could you read that for the jury, please?
25	A	To make everything, to make everything, do you have an

1	employment contract with these guys?		
2	Q	Right. And then Mr. Fehrman says he does, correct?	
3	A	He replies, "I do."	
4	Q	Right. And then what does Matt say?	
5	A	Okay. In order to make it appropriate and legal, we	
6	proba	probably would have to buy your contract	
7	Q	And then if you go to the next page, just to finish	
8	that, please.		
9	A	out. Do you have a nondisclosure? And then	
10	there's a little bit of overtalk. Agreement with these		
11	guys.		
12	Q	Okay. And what does Mr. Fehrman say well, let's	
13	stop there.		
14		So Matt has asked him whether or not he has a	
15	whether or not he has a nondisclosure with these guys,		
16	correct?		
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	Okay. And did you understand "these guys" to mean	
19	AMT?		
20	A	I think that's reasonable.	
21	Q	Okay. Thank you.	
22		And then what does Mr. Fehrman say?	
23	A	He says: That's what I don't know. I didn't sign	
24	anyth	ing specific like that, um, but it was like basic	
25	emplo	yment stuff and, like, agreement, you don't talk to the	

1	media and stuff like that.	
2	Q Okay. Was that true what Mr. Fehrman said?	
3	A That he didn't know?	
4	Q That he didn't sign a contract.	
5	A He did sign a contract.	
6	Q Okay. But he said he didn't sign anything specific	
7	like that with respect to a nondisclosure, correct?	
8	A He says that, that's what I don't know, I didn't sign	
9	anything specific like that and then he says, basic	
10	employment stuff and like an agreement, you don't talk to	
11	the media.	
12	MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. If we could go, then,	
13	please, PJ, to 621 E as in Edward. And that's been	
14	admitted.	
15	THE COURT: Yes.	
16	MR. SCHNEIDER: And that can be published to the	
17	jury?	
18	THE COURT: We'll publish it.	
19	Q Agent, what is this?	
20	A This is a screenshot, we talked a little bit about	
21	those before, of a text conversation.	
22	Q Okay. And do you know the date?	
23	A I don't recall the date. I know these come in and	
24	they were named in such a way to have the date, but I don't	
25	recall this specific one offhand.	

1 Right. Okay. But you received a screenshot from Q 2 Mr. Fehrman, correct? 3 Yes. Α 4 Okay. And who's in the gray and who's writing in the 5 blue? 6 The blue on the right side is Mr. Fehrman, and the 7 gray on the left is Mr. Borges. 8 Okay. And just indulge me and just read what 9 Mr. Borges says at 3:05 p.m. on Thursday? 10 Get me a copy of your employment contract. I'll make 11 you an offer to buy you out. It will be substantial. Make 12 sure you didn't sign an NDA. 13 Okay. And this -- do you know whether or not this 14 text follows the September 5th recorded conversation or 15 predates it? 16 I believe it's after. 17 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Could we have 621 F? And 18 also it's been admitted and would like it published, please? 19 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. Is this a continuation of the text exchange, the 21 Q 22 thread between Matt and Mr. Fehrman? 23 Α Correct, yeah. He just scrolled a bit farther down 24 and took another photograph. 25 And now who's in blue, still Mr. Fehrman? Q

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	And what does Mr. Fehrman say?
3	A	I'll work on getting you a copy. And I don't recall
4	signi	ng an NDA, checking on that, too, with two Os. What
5	will	a buyout entail, like what would I be doing work-wise?
6	Q	All right. Thank you.
7		MR. SCHNEIDER: Then if we could go 621 G, also
8	admit	ted, would like it published?
9		THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
10		MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
11	Q	What does Mr. Fehrman say here, Agent?
12	A	Just e-mailed you my contract. Went through it, don't
13	see an NDA. And Mr. Borges replies: Thanks.	
14	Q	Okay. Do you know I mean, you have pretty constant
15	conta	ct with your source, Mr. Fehrman, during these early
16	days	of September, don't you?
17	A	I talked to Mr. Fehrman regularly, yes.
18	Q	Right. And did you tell him to say he didn't see an
19	NDA?	
20	A	No.
21	Q	Okay. And we established and this is September of
22	2019,	correct?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And did you ask to see his contract at that
25	point	in time?

1 Α I asked him for it after. 2 Okay. At sort of the outset, one of the original 3 questions that Ms. Glatfelter asked, you when you took the 4 stand on direct was, how do you conduct investigations? And 5 you said something like, I try to be as extensive as possible and gather all of the unadulterated facts. Do you 6 7 remember saying that or something along those lines? 8 Α My role is to gather the facts, yes. 9 But you didn't seek this contract until July of 2020? 10 I recall we talked about the contract. I did not 11 receive the paper copy until July of 2020. 12 Okay. Did you -- you had an opportunity, you knew --13 did Tyler e-mail this to Matt Borges? 14 Α He did. 15 Did you ask -- did you get it from Tyler then, you 16 didn't, right? 17 I did not. Α 18 Okay. Thank you. 0 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Could we do 621 -- that was G. 20 Could we do H -- it's been admitted -- and publish? 21 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. 22 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 23 Q Do you see this, Agent, this exchange, continuation of 24 what we've been talking about? 25 Α Yes.

1 Okay. And is Matt in the gray? Q 2 Α Correct. 3 And he says: Give me a day or two to figure this out; and does he also say, where did you send it? 4 5 What e-mail did you send it to? 6 Okay. And then what does Tyler say? Your Roetzel one. I think that's the only one I have. 7 8 Let me make sure it sent. And then he says, just went 9 through. 10 Okay. You would have had an opportunity, if you 11 didn't get the contract from Mr. Fehrman, you could have 12 gotten it through subpoena on the Roetzel e-mail, couldn't 13 you, if you wanted to? 14 I can't get the content of an e-mail usually. There's 15 some different circumstances for that. 16 Okay. I guess my point -- I won't belabor it anymore, 17 is, this didn't pique your curiosity, Matt is asking for 18 whether or not there's a nondisclosure? 19 My concern, at this time, we talked a little bit 20 before that, is, what was the nature of the offer? 21 Mr. Borges -- excuse me, Mr. Fehrman had explained to me the 22 offer as it was originally presented to him and what it was 23 for. I asked Mr. Fehrman to reengage Mr. Borges and flesh 24 out the agreement between the two of them. And he asked: 25 What would I be doing, what am I going to get, those kinds

```
1
       of questions, to try to figure out the nature of what their
 2
       arrangement would be.
 3
             You wanted him to elicit those kind of -- that
       conversation with Matt, didn't you?
 4
 5
             I asked him to reengage Matt and I said take the
       offer.
 6
7
             Okay. Do you know whether or not Mr. Fehrman recorded
 8
       a conversation with Matt before you enlisted him?
 9
             I'm not aware of him doing that.
10
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Could we go to 615 C,
11
                Judge, this is a transcript, no need for the
12
                It's in -- this recorded conversation has been
       binder.
13
       played and there's a transcript of it.
14
                THE COURT: Okay.
15
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
16
             Agent, could you explain this, please? Is this a
       Q
17
       recorded conversation during a meeting?
18
             This is the transcript of the first meeting that we
       Α
19
       played earlier.
20
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Could we go to page 5?
21
                MR. JENSEN: 9?
22
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Page 5, I'm sorry.
23
       Q
             It's kind of awkward to do this, but I'll move on, but
24
       Agent, do you see it would be the one, two -- the third
25
       statement made by Matt on this particular page?
```

1 Α Yes, I do. 2 And could you read that for the jury? 3 Cause from our standpoint what --Α You know what, I apologize, sorry. It would be 4 5 fourth. It was cut off on my screen. Go to the fourth, 6 please. 7 Α The problem is you did sign an NDA and a noncompete. 8 Q And Tyler says, "really"; is that correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Could we go to page 7, same 11 exhibit, please? Pardon me. 12 (Pause.) 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: Strike that. Could we go to 14 page 4? I'm sorry. All right. So my page -- let's --15 we'll move on from there. You can take that down for a 16 second. My transcript page is --17 Do you see -- do you recall in this conversation that 18 Mr. Borges indicated that there was a noncompete provision 19 as well? 20 Yes, I do recall that. 21 And do you recall that Matt said that could cause you 22 some legal exposure? I don't recall precisely how he said it, but I think 23 24 that's -- they discussed how to avoid that problem. 25 Well, didn't Matt advise that litigation and exposure Q

1 with a noncompete, it just wouldn't be worth it, wouldn't be 2 worthwhile? 3 I recall that there was a discussion about you would provide us the information we want before you quit so as to 4 5 avoid the nondisclosure. But you've already testified, have you not, that that 6 7 information at least was carved out of the confidentiality 8 provisions of the contract? 9 I -- you'd have to ask AMT if they feel that that --10 that's a judgment. 11 THE COURT: Yes? 12 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 13 this line of questioning. Calls for a legal conclusion. 14 THE COURT: I'll sustain it. You can try again. 15 Don't call for a legal conclusion. 16 Well, okay. Regardless, though, in this September 5th 17 conversation followed by texts and then followed by some 18 more recorded conversations, Mr. Fehrman's contract and 19 provisions inside that contract are a dialogue between the 20 two, correct? 21 There are discussions about it, yes. 22 Q Okay. And those are discussions that are really being 23 brought up by Matt, not Mr. Fehrman, correct? 24 I think that's generally the case, yeah. Α 25 I mean, the person who's driving that conversation is Q

1 Mr. Borges, correct? 2 Yeah. We've looked at a number of things and I think 3 the folks that were buying out folks were aware that some of 4 the contracts had nondisclosure agreements in them. 5 Well, but a nondisclosure agreement is different than 6 a noncompete or are you confusing the two? 7 Α Again, I -- I do believe that they're different, but 8 I'm not certain. I think it depends on the terms. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: So now if we could go to 616 C, 10 please. Again, Judge, this is admitted. There's a 11 transcript, no need to publish. 12 THE COURT: Very well. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: Page 4. 14 Agent Wetzel, if you would go down towards the bottom, Q 15 do you see where Matt says "I feel"? 16 Α Yes. 17 Could you read that for the jury? 18 I feel, feel terrible for what you're going through Α 19 with your kid. I just want to make sure you're in a good 20 place. 21 And then how does Tyler Fehrman reply? 22 Α No, I get it. I understand that and I appreciate it. 23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. And if we could go to the 24 bottom of page 7. 25 Can you, Agent, for purposes of I guess your testimony Q

1 here, could you go down to the last reference to Matt Borges 2 on that page? We'll swing it to page 8, but it's on 7. 3 I see it there. Α 4 Could you read that for us? 5 So you can work on whatever you want. We're making 6 sure that this is totally separate and, quite frankly, we do 7 need some help with this -- or with stuff. Excuse me. 8 And then Tyler responds: Right. And then how does 9 Matt respond? 10 So it's not immediate. I don't need you to start 11 working on it tomorrow. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. And if we could stay on this 13 transcript and go to page 10. 14 THE COURT: Do you have questions for this witness 15 or are you just having him read your client's statements? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll have questions. 17 THE COURT: Very well. 18 Do you see, Agent, the middle of the page, do you see 19 where Tyler is asking Mr. Borges whether or not he needs any 20 updates or information? 21 Α Yes. 22 And Matt replies -- how does he reply? 23 Α Matt writes: I don't want you to do that other shit. 24 I just don't care about it. 25 Thank you. And then finally, on page 13 of this Q

1 transcript, do you see sort of in the -- well, up in the top 2 third, do you see where Mr. Fehrman again is asking whether 3 or not Matt wants some information or statewide information? 4 Α Correct. Do you want me to read it? 5 Well, just first of all, do you see it? 6 Α I do. And so twice in this conversation, Mr. Fehrman, at 7 8 least what we've read so far, Mr. Fehrman is asking 9 Mr. Borges if he wants information, correct? 10 That's his understanding of what Mr. Borges wants. Α 11 Right. But Mr. Borges had said a few pages earlier 12 that he didn't care about that, didn't he? 13 He did. Α 14 Thank you. Q 15 Α However, after this, he also repeatedly asked --16 Go ahead. Q 17 MR. SCHNEIDER: Could we go to 617 B, please? And 18 this also, Judge, is a recording. It's in transcript form. 19 It's been played for the jury. No need to publish. 20 Is this a recording of a conversation on the 17th of 21 September? 22 Α This is the transcript of it, yes. 23 Right. Q 24 MR. SCHNEIDER: And could we go to page 4, please? 25 Do you see towards the bottom, do you see Mr. Fehrman Q

```
1
       asks Matt again if he needs anything?
 2
       Α
             Yes.
 3
             And Matt replies: No, but I'll let you know for sure?
 4
       Α
             Yes.
 5
             Okay. Thank you.
 6
                MR. SCHNEIDER: And then I'm going to finish with
7
       Mr. Fehrman before I move on. Could we do 619 B? Same
 8
       thing, Judge, recording, transcript form. No need to
 9
       publish it. Admitted.
10
                THE COURT: Very well.
11
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. Page 6, please.
12
             Do you see down at the bottom, down at the bottom, do
13
       you see where Mr. Fehrman, when he talks about a rehearsal
14
       dinner, but he says, is there anything that you need from
15
       me? And he's asking Matt.
16
            Yes, I see it.
17
             And Matt replies -- if you could read that for the
18
       jury.
19
             Yeah, you know, things have gotten so wild, that I
20
       haven't been able to focus on these other, you know,
21
       projects, but --
22
                MR. SCHNEIDER: And if we could continue to the
23
       next page.
24
             Does Matt say, "let's get past the 21st"?
25
             He does, "and dig into some of that stuff."
       Α
```

1 And is there any relevance to the 21st? Q 2 That's the end of the referendum period. 3 Right. So, you know, I wasn't there, I wasn't part of this conversation, but it sounds like Mr. Fehrman is asking 4 5 Mr. Borges if he still needs anything, and he says -- and 6 Matt says: I can't, I've got too many things going on, I 7 can't even focus on that, let's get past the 21st, fair 8 reading of that? 9 That's what he writes or I guess says, sorry. This is 10 a transcript. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. In this case, this would be 12 Borges 249. It's not admitted. 13 Do you recognize this, Agent? Q 14 Yes, I do. Α Would this --15 Q 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: If we could go to page 29, PJ. 17 Do you see --Q 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: There you go, page 29. 19 It looks like it's on November 1st, it could be 10/31, Q 20 but do you see the exchange between you and Mr. Fehrman? 21 On the 1st of November? Α 22 Right. Is the "FYI" a text message coming from 23 Mr. Fehrman? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And what does Mr. Fehrman communicate? Q

1 Α I got a call from a friend who spoke with MB. 2 now aware of why he has not reached out. 3 Okay. And "MB," meaning Matt Borges, to your 4 knowledge? 5 Correct. 6 Okay. Did you record this in a CHS reporting 7 document? 8 I'm not certain. 9 Okay. Was your -- did you follow up with Mr. Fehrman 10 on that? 11 Again, I'd have to look at the report, the report. If 12 I did one, I would have done one if I spoke with him about 13 it. 14 Would that not have piqued your curiosity as to now, 15 Mr. Fehrman, your confidential -- let me ask you this: Is 16 Mr. Fehrman still under your control at this point in time, 17 November 1st? 18 November 1st of 2019? Α 19 Yeah. Q 20 Yes, he was still open as a CHS. 21 And your confidential source has reported, "I now know 22 why Matt hasn't reached out," that wasn't of interest to you 23 to follow up on? 24 I'm certain it was. 25 Okay. But did you follow up? Q

1 I don't recall this specific text message. Α There were 2 many of them between us. I'm certain that we spoke after 3 that time. 4 There were a lot of text messages as you just 5 indicated between you and Mr. Householder, correct? 6 There were a number of them, yes. Α 7 He would send you texts about Megan Fitzmartin, 8 wouldn't he? 9 I recall that he sent some, yes. 10 And about John -- or about Jeff Longstreth, correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Were these solicited by you or were these just 13 unsolicited texts that your confidential source felt like he 14 needed to communicate? 15 I think if you look through them, some of them are 16 both. There are also times when I reach out mostly about 17 logistical things. Some of them were unsolicited. I saw 18 this. I do recall that after the last time that there was a 19 recorded conversation with Mr. Borges, I said, don't -- you 20 know, don't speak to Matt anymore unless we talk and please 21 let me know if you -- if he tries to contact you. 22 Q Was that because Mr. Fehrman was getting a little 23 reckless? 24 I wouldn't --25 MS. GLATFELTER: Objection, argumentive.

1	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer it.
2	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't characterize it that way.
3	MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. I don't necessarily seek to
4	admit that. Could we have Borges 262, not admitted, and
5	really only for the witness' and the Courts' eyes?
6	Q Can you identify that, Agent?
7	A That appears to be a story in the Cleveland Plain
8	Dealer.
9	Q Okay. And do you know when this ran?
10	A It lists that it was published on the 24th of July,
11	2020.
12	Q And, again, for purposes of keeping the record
13	straight, the arrests in this case were made when?
14	A Around that time.
15	Q Now, you told us before the lunch break that
16	Mr. Fehrman wasn't your first source?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Was he your first source that gave a media interview
19	that you ever had?
20	MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, may we have a sidebar,
21	please?
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.
24	THE COURT: I'm not convinced you can attack the
25	credibility of the witness through this witness. But what

1 did you wish me to hear? 2 MS. GLATFELTER: That was one of them, improper 3 impeachment. The second is hearsay. This is an article 4 purporting to contain, I guess, information that he's trying 5 to elicit from the agent. We've tried to be patient and not 6 object to every hearsay question, but this entire 7 examination has been hearsay. 8 THE COURT: Objection is hearsay. 9 MS. GLATFELTER: And improper impeachment. 10 THE COURT: And improper impeachment. MR. LONG: Your Honor, it's a public news source. 11 12 I think it's self-authenticating, but Mr. Schneider is not 13 offering it for the truth of the matter asserted contents of 14 the article. He's offering it to establish what Agent 15 Wetzel did in response to learning that his source had gone

offering it for the truth of the matter asserted contents of the article. He's offering it to establish what Agent Wetzel did in response to learning that his source had gone public. Agent Wetzel has testified that this had been a covert investigation, and here it is, three days after the arrests, and the case became public, his source went public. And it's — the question is along the lines of, what did you do in response to that, from an investigative standpoint.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GLATFELTER: It's also misleading because the case was published the time this article was. They were arrested under complaint and then the case was later indicted about a week later, and so it is misleading to try to assert that it was covert at this time. The

```
1
       investigation --
 2
                MR. LONG: I just want to clarify. I did not mean
 3
       the case was still covert. I said it had been covert and on
 4
       the 21st, it was no longer covert, and when it was no longer
 5
       covert, the informant went public himself. So I wanted to
 6
       correct -- if I misspoke earlier, I wanted to correct that.
7
       I wasn't saying that the case was covert at that time.
 8
                THE COURT: So the response to the hearsay
 9
       objection is they're not offering it for the truth of the
10
       matter?
11
                MS. GLATFELTER: I think that they are, but --
12
                MR. SCHNEIDER: I was seeking to admit a public
13
       source document. I don't need to ask the agent any more
14
       questions.
15
                THE COURT: Well, if it's a public source document,
16
       it's filled with hearsay. If it's a public source document,
17
       I don't know that that arises to an exception. I'll confer
18
       with my law clerk, if you'll give me some breathing room.
19
            (Off-the-record discussion.)
20
                THE COURT: See you again at sidebar. I don't
21
       think you need this newspaper article if what you really
22
       want to know is what did you do after your source went
23
       public, or did your source go public, what did you do.
24
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay.
25
                THE COURT: Can we proceed in that way?
```

1 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. 2 THE COURT: And very well. 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes. SIDEBAR CONCLUDED. 4 5 Agent, I asked you the question as to whether or not 6 Mr. Fehrman gave any media comment and you said yes, correct? 7 8 I think you were asking me about this article. 9 Right. What did you, if anything, do when you 10 discovered that Mr. Fehrman had gone public? 11 I talked to him. Α 12 And was that a pleasant conversation? 13 I think it was perhaps a little uncomfortable. I 14 don't have the ability to prevent someone from talking to 15 the media. I certainly ask that they don't, but I don't 16 have any ability to prevent that. They don't sign a 17 contract that says they won't or anything. 18 Okay. I asked you, though, in an earlier series of 19 questions whether or not you would characterize Mr. Fehrman 20 as being reckless and you said no. How about now? 21 I still don't believe that that -- I don't agree that 22 that's reckless. I don't think -- I don't believe that he 23 did that because he was being reckless. I believe he felt 24 that he had to do that and was backed into a corner. 25 Well, who -- how did the media find out about a Q

```
1
       confidential source; do you know?
 2
             I don't. As Mr. Fehrman explained it to me --
 3
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to that.
                THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 4
 5
                MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm asking him --
                THE COURT: These are not questions for the agent,
 6
       are they?
7
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No, they're not. They would be for
 8
 9
       Mr. Fehrman.
10
                THE COURT: So is there a pending question? Why
11
       are you asking?
12
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No. I'll withdraw the guestion.
13
                THE COURT: Very well.
14
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
15
       Q
             Sort of moving off Mr. Fehrman as a topic for the
16
       moment, there were documents that you testified to that are
17
       admitted, that --
18
                MR. SCHNEIDER: They would be 201 C and 201 D.
19
       They've been admitted and they can be published.
20
                THE COURT: They're admitted. They may be
21
       published.
22
             And what are these again, Agent Wetzel?
23
             This is a document that was recovered by us as a part
2.4
       of the investigation.
25
             Okay. And are these candidates for certain House
       Q
```

1 Districts in 2018? 2 That's what it lists, yes. 3 Now, you had testified and there have been some exhibits that Stu Harris was on Team Householder, correct? 4 5 Yes. 6 Okay. And you also indicated and I think it's in 7 reference to the Exhibit 405 and you had some conversation 8 with Mr. Glickman earlier today that these alliances are 9 fluid, right? 10 Both of the factions were trying to court members. 11 Some were more dedicated members, others were playing the 12 field maybe I guess is how I would say it. 13 Right, exactly. So you indicated that there was Team 14 Householder and Team Smith, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 And there were times when someone might be on Team 17 Smith and ends up on Team Householder or vice versa, 18 correct? There were discussions about what team individuals 19 20 I think some of the candidates were a bit more coy 21 what team they were on. 22 Right. And Stu Harris was one of those, wasn't he? 23 I do recall reviewing some messages and seeing some 24 documents where folks were unsure where he was. 25 But there's been this attribution in your testimony Q

1 that somehow Mr. Borges, who's close to Mr. Harris, that 2 Mr. Harris was Team Householder as a result of Mr. Borges? 3 I don't believe that I've --Α 4 Okay. 0 5 -- implied that. Okay. How -- okay. So maybe to end this, this series 6 7 of questions as it relates to Mr. Harris, as you sit there, 8 how sure are you that he was a committed Team Householder 9 member? 10 I'm fairly sure. I recall that there were 11 conversations -- I recall reviewing communications with Jeff 12 because there was a back and forth about whether he was, Stu 13 Harris was, accepting aid and Team Householder was trying to 14 figure out which team he was really on, and there was some 15 back and forth about that. I --16 You've had some examples earlier. Who flipped, was it 17 Mr. Brinkman? 18 I'm sorry? Α 19 Who flipped off Team Householder? Q 20 I'm not certain who all flipped or left. 21 Okay. Q 22 Α I know that there were some that were surprises. 23 Surprises to you? Q 24 Surprises to the folks who were keeping score on Team 25 Householder. I'm drawing the inferences from text messages,

1 we looked at some of them, and documents like this one where 2 they list which team they're on. 3 Did you do the same with Team Smith? Did I document who was on Team Smith? 4 Α 5 Um-hmm. I didn't particularly. I did -- I was aware of some 6 7 folks who were receiving aid. 8 Q Matt Borges didn't appear on any of the on the farm 9 documents, did he? 10 I don't recall seeing him on there. Α 11 0 Thank you. 12 Now, there were some e-mail and/or text exchanges 13 between Matt Borges and Melissa Hoeffel and Matt Carle, do 14 you recall some of those? 15 I do recall that those exist, yes. 16 Okay. Were you aware that Melissa and Matt Carle and 17 Matt Borges were in the works of forming 17 Consulting or at 18 least they were going to break away, Melissa and Matt were 19 going to break away from Roetzel and Matt Carle was going to 20 join them in a consulting firm; were you aware of that? 21 I do recall there are some documents discussing a 22 different firm. 23 Right. And there's an e-mail that was introduced or a 24 text exchange where Matt Borges is telling Matt Carle I 25 think I might have a lucrative opportunity; do you remember

```
1
       that conversation?
 2
             I do.
       Α
 3
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Could we have Exhibit 406?
       Judge, it's been admitted and it's been published.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Very well.
 6
                MR. SCHNEIDER: I mean, yeah, it's been admitted
7
       and we can publish it.
                THE COURT: Publish it.
 8
 9
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
10
             Agent, this is that circulation of the first draft or
11
       potential legislation that became -- would have potentially
12
      become House Bill 6?
13
             Yes. We looked at this a few times.
14
             Right. We have looked at it a few times. And Matt
15
       Borges isn't on that, is he?
16
             I don't see him on there.
17
             Okay. Now, Mr. Glickman inquired about the
18
       FirstEnergy Solutions board and some of the resolutions. Do
19
       you recall that from this morning?
20
             I do.
21
             Okay. And just so we don't leave any confusion here,
22
       FirstEnergy Solutions was a separate entity from
23
       FirstEnergy, correct?
24
             It became separate in February of 2020.
25
             Right. And to the point where even Chuck Jones is in
       Q
```

1 communication saying that the FirstEnergy Solutions folks 2 are dicks? 3 We read a message to that effect, but I would say that 4 it was a little bit confusing. For example, on the 23rd, 5 the day the bill passes, there's an exchange between John Kiani and Mike Dowling about how the stock is down. They're 6 7 talking about the FirstEnergy stock in a conversation about 8 the referendum. 9 What's nefarious about that? 10 I'm not suggesting it's nefarious. I'm just saying 11 that clearly their finances and fortunes are commingled. 12 Did -- the FirstEnergy Solutions board resolved and 13 approved \$15 million for the House Bill 6 legislative 14 initiative, correct? 15 Α We looked at the document. 16 But that's true, right? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 And then later on, the FirstEnergy Solutions board 19 approved \$25 million for the anti referendum effort, 20 correct? 21 Correct. We looked at that as well. 22 Q Right. And that was an independently established 23 board at FirstEnergy Solutions, correct? 24 There was a period in the bankruptcy where they were 25 assigned their own board. Correct, but they're still a part

1	of th	e company.
2	Q	Company in bankruptcy, there are creditors, are there
3	not?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And sometimes there's creditor committees,
6	right	?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And when when those resolutions were made, what was
9	the s	tatus of FirstEnergy solution as in relation to its
10	bankr	ruptcy?
11	A	It was still going through bankruptcy.
12	Q	Right, in a federal bankruptcy court in Akron?
13	A	That's my understanding.
14	Q	With hundreds of court filings?
15	A	I don't know the number of the court filings.
16	Q	There was a reference, maybe it was Ms. Glatfelter in
17	openi	ng statement, but attributing this term "unholy
18	allia	nce" to Matt Borges; do you recall that?
19	A	Her opening statement you mean?
20	Q	Well, do you recall anything in this case related to
21	an un	holy alliance?
22	A	Yes. In the meeting on the 10th, Mr. Borges refers to
23	an un	holy alliance between Roetzel, FirstEnergy, and
24	Mr. H	ouseholder.
25	Q	Right. And you're young enough, you probably don't

```
1
       have firsthand knowledge of Watergate, but are you familiar
 2
       with Watergate?
 3
             Generally.
       Α
 4
             Okav. Right. I mean --
 5
             I certainly wasn't working in the FBI at the time.
             Correct. That was not until 2017, I got that.
 6
       Q
7
             Are you aware that with President Richard Nixon there
 8
       were articles of impeachment?
 9
             Yes.
       Α
10
             Okay.
       Q
11
                MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, objection to
12
       relevance.
13
                THE COURT: I question the relevance.
14
                MR. SCHNEIDER: I do have -- well, can I have one
15
       follow-up?
16
                THE COURT: Not to irrelevant stuff. If you've got
17
       something -- I don't know where you're going, but Nixon is
18
       not on trial.
19
                MR. SCHNEIDER: I understand that.
20
             Are you aware that seven Democrats and three
21
       Republicans -- or, excuse me, three Democrats and seven
22
       Republicans voted to impeach the President, and they
23
       referred to themselves --
24
                MS. GLATFELTER: Objection, Your Honor.
25
       strike.
```

1		THE COURT: Sustained.
2	Q	We talked about Jon Cross, he was a member of Team
3	House	holder?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Right. And he ran against Cheryl Buckland?
6	A	I'd want to review the list. That sounds right.
7	Q	Okay. Are you aware that Cheryl Buckland was a good
8	frien	d of Matt Borges?
9	A	I don't recall that as I sit here. It's possible.
10	Q	Well, do you know whether Matt Borges supported Cheryl
11	Buckl	and or Jon Cross?
12	A	I don't recall.
13	Q	Okay. Now, you worked in the Michigan assembly,
14	legis	lative assembly, for a little bit, right?
15	A	Correct, four years.
16	Q	And you worked for the House and then you also worked
17	for a	House member; is that what I understood or vice versa?
18	A	No, I only ever worked for one member. He represented
19	my ho	metown.
20	Q	And did you contribute to that member's campaign?
21	A	I don't think I ever did.
22	Q	Did you attend any of that member's fundraisers?
23	A	I think I I attended some, yes.
24	Q	Okay. Were you aware that Matt Borges did work for
25	AEP?	
J		

1	A Yes.	
2	Q Okay. And AEP and	d FirstEnergy at times were
3	competitors, are they no	pt?
4	A I don't think so.	The distribution utilities have a
5	defined territory. I do	on't think they're competing for
6	customers.	
7	Q Well, do you think	AEP initially supported House Bill
8	6?	
9	A I don't I'm not	sure. I don't believe they're
10	go ahead, sorry.	
11	Q Through the course	e of your investigation, did you
12	determine whether AEP's	proclivities were as it relates to
13	that legislation?	
14	A People told me abo	out how they felt, that's kind of
15	second or third hand.	
16	MR. SCHNEIDER:	Okay. Now, can we put up 612 C,
17	which is the Who's Knock	ing At Your Door? That's been
18	introduced, admitted. I	hat can be published?
19	THE COURT: Pub	olish it.
20	Q Do you recall this	3?
21	A I do.	
22	Q Okay. Do you know	whether that mailing ever went out?
23	A I believe it did.	I think I maybe even got a copy of
24	it at my home.	
25	Q Who's Carl Loparo?	

1 He was a part of Ohioans For Energy Security. Α 2 And who paid for this ad? 0 3 The disclaimer lists Ohioans For Energy Security. 4 Yeah. And do you know whether or not Mr. Loparo was 5 in favor of this mailing? I believe that he was not in favor of the previous ad 6 7 that we looked at that had the mugshots. I believe this one 8 was the compromise. 9 Okay. And yesterday, I think it was yesterday, before 10 you finished up, there was a question about Mr. Glickman 11 regarding Brooke Bodney. 12 Yes. Α 13 Can you educate us again who Brooke is? 14 She's a fundraiser. 15 Q Okay. And do you remember Mr. Glickman asking you 16 whether she was an employee of JPL or an independent 17 contractor; do you remember that? 18 I remember we talked about it. Α 19 Yeah. And you said something interesting, you said 20 you didn't explicitly look at that; do you recall that? 21 I don't recall precisely what I said. I believe she's 22 an independent contractor. She has her own business. 23 But if you were to use the term I didn't explicitly 24 look into that, what did you mean by "explicitly"? 25 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, objection, legal term

1 that will be later instructed upon. 2 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm recalling his testimony from 3 yesterday. THE COURT: Well, if he said it, he can tell us his 4 5 understanding, but, apparently, I'm going to instruct in 6 that regard. 7 So you don't recall using that term; is that what 8 you're saying? 9 I don't recall exact term that I used for it. She has 10 a business. I believe we walked through that she has 11 multiple clients. I didn't -- I think what I was referring 12 to is that I did not check on whether she was like a 1099 13 employee or if she was a W- 2 or whatever, that sort of 14 thing. 15 Okay. And you indicated your responsibilities with 16 the Federal Bureau of Investigation, you also have election 17 responsibility? 18 I do. Α 19 Okay. Describe that for us, what that means. 20 So every division within the FBI has a program related 21 to election crimes, and so I as a part of my 22 responsibilities manage that for the Cincinnati division. That's sort of in addition to my other duties, like 23 24 investigating public corruption. 25 Did you -- during the course of your investigation, Q

1 we've talked about benefits, I think Mr. Glickman talked 2 about benefits that have been conferred. Do you have any 3 idea how much Strategy Group made or received as a result of 4 working on House Bill 6 enactment and then later on on the referendum efforts? 5 I would have to calculate the total from the bank 6 records. I'm certain it's in the millions. 7 8 Q Yeah. A lot, lot of millions, right? 9 I would think so. 10 Now, you subpoenaed records from the Strategy Group 11 and its related companies, did you not? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. And you didn't find any e-mail or text that 14 indicated that Matt Borges was involved in media efforts as 15 it relates to the enactment of House Bill 6, correct? 16 I don't recall seeing any. Α 17 Did FirstEnergy Solutions ever file anything with the 18 Ohio Supreme Court? 19 Yes, it did. Α 20 Okay. And just for maybe clarification for 21 purposes -- for the jury's purpose, what was that 22 litigation? 23 I think there were several things that were litigated 24 before the Ohio Supreme Court, but generally speaking, it 25 was aspects of the referendum.

1 It was -- was it challenging the referendum itself? Q 2 The suit by FirstEnergy Solutions? Α 3 FES. 0 I believe that at one point they filed the lawsuit, 4 5 this notion of whether or not it was subject to referendum, House Bill 6 I mean. 6 7 Meaning whether or not it was a tax? I think there's a few ways that -- again, I'm not 8 9 There's a few ways where things can be not subject 10 to referendum. I don't recall what they used as their 11 reasoning. 12 You testified to some exhibits, some text messages 13 between Dowling and others where he referred to John Zeiger, 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. And was John the lawyer that filed the 17 lawsuit --18 MS. GLATFELTER: Objection, Your Honor, objection. 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not --20 MS. GLATFELTER: Objection. Our sidebar, regarding 21 the sidebar. 22 THE COURT: You're not going toward what we 23 discussed? 24 MR. SCHNEIDER: No, no. 25 THE COURT: Okay. What is the question?

1 Was the Zeiger firm the law firm that represented FES? Q 2 That's my understanding. 3 Okay. And, again, that lawsuit you think raised 4 issues challenging the referendum? 5 They were challenging aspects of it. There were a 6 number of them that were filed by both sides. 7 0 Okay. Now, I want to maybe circle back to Mr. Fehrman 8 for a minute. Ms. Glatfelter asked you what Mr. Fehrman's 9 demeanor was when you gave him a thousand dollars. Do you 10 remember that question? 11 I do. Α 12 Just tell us again what his demeanor was? Q 13 He was surprised. Α 14 Happily surprised? Q 15 I think more he was a bit confused, is how I would 16 describe it. 17 Now, you indicated you had a fair amount of e-mail or 18 text messaging with him off and on, right, correct? 19 Α He provided me information through texts, yeah. 20 And didn't he at one time -- well, did he end up in 21 Michigan, was he up in Michigan working? 22 Α I don't recall if he texted me about that. 23 You don't recall a text that they pay more up here 24 than they do down there; do you remember that? 25 I don't recall that, but he may have. I just don't Α

1	remember it specifically.
2	Q So you indicated that you never as you sit there,
3	you don't know who funded OACB, you don't have a clue who
4	funded it?
5	A I did not research who funded OACB.
6	${f Q}$ Do you know who supported OACB in its efforts to
7	referendum House Bill 6?
8	A I know that the ads that were run against the folks
9	supporting it were attacking the oil and gas industry. We
10	looked at some of those advertisements, but I don't know who
11	the funders were.
12	Q Okay. There was a lot of money that funded OACB,
13	correct?
14	A I don't know the amount.
15	Q Well, you just investigated one side of this
16	referendum effort?
17	A The investigation began with Generation Now and how it
18	was being used by Mr. Householder, and it grew out from
19	there.
20	Q Yeah. Again, the primary focus of your investigation
21	as it started was whether or not FirstEnergy bribed Larry
22	Householder in exchange for favorable legislation, correct?
23	A Yes. The original investigation was into Generation
24	Now, Mr. Householder, and the connection to FirstEnergy.
25	MR. SCHNEIDER: Give me one moment, please, Judge.

(Pause.)
MR. SCHNEIDER: Almost done. 622 J, this is 622 J,
Your Honor. It's been admitted and it can be published?
THE COURT: Yes.
Q Agent, do you recognize this?
A This is another screenshot of a text message exchange.
Q And is Mr. Borges, is Matt the one in the gray?
A Correct.
Q And he says let's do a three-month agreement to work
on political projects?
A And then it goes on, yes.
Q Well, you can go ahead and read it, go ahead and read
it for us?
A Let's do a three-month agreement to work on political
projects that I need help with unrelated to the issue we are
both working on. I'll front load it so you can deal with
the issues W your daughter and get out from under that. I
don't want you to undermine your campaign's efforts. We
will just work on other stuff. I should be around this
afternoon. Let me know what your schedule looks like.
THE COURT: What's the question?
Q Did Tyler
THE COURT: What's the question? You're not going
to simply read statements.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay.

1 Q Mr. Borges is offering a three-month frontloaded 2 contract, right? 3 That's what the text message says. 4 That's what the text message is. Did Mr. Fehrman text 5 you or communicate with you about any suspicions he had with OACB? 6 7 Α Suspicions? I'm not certain. Did Tyler Fehrman -- well, is your answer you don't 8 Q 9 know? 10 Α I'm sorry, could you repeat the question? 11 Did Tyler ever reach out with any concerns that he 0 12 might -- that he raised or had suspicions dealing with OACB? 13 I don't recall that. Α 14 You don't. And if he had, you would have followed up? 0 15 Α When an investigation begins, we receive allegations 16 of federal criminal activity, not violations of state law or 17 other things. I don't investigate those. And then we 18 predicate an investigation and we look for violations of 19 federal law. There was no indication that OACB had violated 20 federal law. 21 Fair enough. Who's Tony Franco? Q 22 He is a CEO of I think safe chain I think is the name 23 of his company. 24 Right. He was a client of Mr. Borges, correct? Q 25 Correct. Α

1	Q	And then there was a reference to an e-mail or a text
2	with	he and Mr. Franco where he said Mr. Householder is our
3	guy?	
4	A	Or that's who we are for or something along those
5	lines	
6	Q	You're aware that that text exchange was after
7	Mr. H	ouseholder was elected Speaker?
8	A	I don't recall the date without you showing it to me.
9		MR. SCHNEIDER: Can we have 413? This has been
10	admit	ted. It's been published or it can be published?
11		THE COURT: Very well, published.
12	Q	Agent Wetzel, is this the text that was exchanged
13	betwe	en with Mr. Franco and Matt Borges that was introduced?
14	A	Yes, this is the one I read.
15	Q	What's the date?
16	A	The 7th, so the day of.
17	Q	Right. And, look, you've indicated that you have
18	legis	lative experience, you've got your master's, would you
19	agree	it's a lot of times you're for somebody after they've
20	won i	n that business?
21	A	I'm not sure that that's what Mr. Borges means.
22	Q	Well, you don't know what he means, do you?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. But it was offered and to suggest that he was
25	suppo	rting Larry Householder, right?

1	A He says: "Good for us, though."
2	MR. SCHNEIDER: You can take that one down.
3	Q Again, you have you don't believe you have seen any
4	text, e-mail or other document strike that.
5	Well, I will ask that again, but from April the
6	timeline here is April of '19 through the end of April of
7	' 19.
8	A Okay.
9	Q Okay. No e-mail or text that you're aware of that
10	gives any indication that Matt over here knew about funding
11	sources for Gen Now?
12	A I don't believe I've seen a document.
13	MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. No further questions,
14	sir.
15	THE COURT: Very well. Does the government have
16	redirect? And we're close to our mid-afternoon break.
17	Where are we from the government's perspective?
18	MS. GLATFELTER: I do have redirect, but I imagine
19	it won't be too long. I'm fine with either breaking before
20	or after.
21	THE COURT: No. Go ahead, go ahead now.
22	MS. GLATFELTER: Good afternoon, Agent Wetzel.
23	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. GLATFELTER:

1 Q Did you review the government's exhibits prior to your 2 testimony? 3 I did. Α 4 You had that opportunity? I did. 5 Were those exhibits a subset of the millions of pages 6 you reviewed during your investigation? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. And when you say that you don't recall the 10 document, you're not denying you received the document, you 11 just don't have a specific recollection of that particular 12 page? 13 MR. GLICKMAN: Objection. 14 THE COURT: Basis? 15 MR. GLICKMAN: Leading. 16 THE COURT: I don't think it's leading, but please 17 don't lead the witness. The objection in this instance is 18 overruled. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. I reviewed millions of 20 documents over a four-year period. I remember topics, but I don't recall each individual piece of paper. 21 22 Q You were asked a lot of questions about Team 23 Householder on cross-examination; do you remember that? 24 I do. Α 25 Okay. And how did -- in terms of the way -- let me Q

1 Strike those. see. 2 Is there a way that documents recovered in your 3 investigation refer to Team Householder? 4 Α Yes. 5 Okay. And on the charts that you created, what 6 criteria did you use whether you were putting someone in the 7 Team Householder category or not? 8 It depends on how they were categorized on those 9 documents. For example, there were some lists of who are 10 our candidates, although, there are different dates and 11 times. Also if they received financial resources from any 12 of the several entities that Gen Now money passed through. 13 Okay. That's what I wanted to ask you about. When 14 you mentioned financial, did you say support or financial --15 Α Money. 16 Okay. When you -- explain how that factored in to 17 whether you put someone in the Team Householder column. 18 Yes. The money that came into Generation Now that Α 19 we've looked at passed through several different entities, 20 Growth and Opportunity PAC, Hardworking Ohioans, and several 21 others, and it was spent to support candidates. And those 22 candidates receiving financial support were Team Householder 23 candidates. 24 In terms of the definition that you used? 25 Α Correct.

1	Q Now, during cross-examination, you were trying to
2	provide an example and I wanted to give you a chance to
3	explain that. Can you walk through the example of an
4	individual like Jay Todd Smith?
5	A Correct. Yeah. So in the case of Jay Todd Smith,
6	there is an identified Team Householder member in the
7	primary, and that was not Mr. Smith, but he prevailed in the
8	primary election and after the primary, he was approached by
9	Team Householder and joined Team Householder and then
10	received help via Hardworking Ohioans, that was the drunk
11	Dan Foley ad, which is his general election opponent.
12	${f Q}$ Okay. And so is that an example of when you were
13	talking about the fluidity of the list?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. And in that example, how was it fluid?
16	A It was fluid because there were two separate
17	individuals who were supported by Larry Householder in one
18	election in one district.
19	Q Okay. And you placed Jay Todd Smith in that category
20	in the general election because of what reason?
21	A He was supported by Hardworking Ohioans.
22	Q Okay. And in what way was he supported?
23	A There were advertisements run against his general
24	election opponent.
25	Q Agent Wetzel, during cross-examination, you were asked

1 a series of questions about why you said Householder in 2 conjunction with Generation Now; do you remember those 3 questions? I do. 4 Α 5 And in response, you said -- I want to ask you about 6 your response. You said you relied on numerous different 7 sources? 8 Α Correct. 9 Were one of those sources the documents that you 10 received during the investigation? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Q 13 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, may we please publish 14 what has been admitted as Exhibit 300? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 All right. Agent Wetzel, to refresh our memory in Q 17 terms of Growth and Opportunity PAC in 2018, can you briefly 18 describe the money flow to Crossroads Media? 19 Yes. So in the primary time period for 2018, Α 20 Generation Now gave money to the Growth and Opportunity PAC 21 in the amount that you see, the \$1,000,050 and then from the 22 Growth and Opportunity PAC, \$290,275 went to Crossroads 23 Media. 24 So in terms of levels removed, we have money going 25 into Generation Now, right?

1	A	Correct.		
2	Q	Then we have the money from Generation Now going out		
3	to Gr	to Growth and Opportunity PAC, right?		
4	A	Correct.		
5	Q	And then they're majoring expenditures, three		
6	diffe	different levels, right?		
7	A	Correct.		
8	Q	Did you receive documents from Crossroads Media during		
9	your investigation?			
10	A	I did.		
11	Q	And did you review those documents?		
12	A	I did.		
13		MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, permission to publish		
14	what's been admitted as 303 G?			
15		THE COURT: Yes.		
16	Q	Agent Wetzel, do you recall this document?		
17	A	I do. We looked at it previously.		
18	Q	Okay. And did you have an opportunity to review it		
19	prior to your testimony?			
20	A	I did.		
21		MS. GLATFELTER: Ms. Terry, if we can go to the		
22	last	page of this exhibit.		
23	Q	Do you recall why you received these documents?		
24	A	Subpoena.		
25	Q	Okay. And were they in relation to what particular		

1 entity? 2 The Growth and Opportunity PAC, I believe. 3 And does it say that at the top of the document? It does. 4 Α 5 Okay. And do you see a reference to Householder on this document? 6 7 I do. It says cash received from Householder and then 8 there's a listed amount. 9 Okay. Can you circle where you see Householder? 10 That feature doesn't seem to be turned on. Α 11 Oh. 0 12 (Witness writing.) 13 But this is a document involving the Growth and 14 Opportunity PAC? 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. And if we looked at your chart that you had, 17 that's three levels removed from Generation Now? 18 Α Correct. 19 Is this one of the documents that you relied upon in 20 answering the questions of defense counsel? 21 I did. Α 22 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, permission to publish 23 what's been admitted as 609 C? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 Agent Wetzel, do you remember being asked questions Q

1 about this particular mailer on cross-examination? 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay. And particularly you were asked whether you 4 investigated whether the people on the mailer were felons, 5 do you remember that question? I do. 6 Α 7 Were you investigating the truth of the claims made on 8 these mailers during your investigation? 9 No. Α 10 What were you investigating? 11 Allegations of bribery and the path of money through Α 12 various entities. 13 Were you investigating who paid for the ads? Q 14 Α Yes. 15 Q All right. Agent Wetzel, you were asked quite a few 16 questions about Mr. Fehrman; do you remember those? 17 I do. Α 18 When Mr. Fehrman came to you and came to the FBI, what 19 was the nature of the complaint that you -- that he came to 20 you with? 21 MR. GLICKMAN: Objection, hearsay, Judge. 22 THE COURT: I didn't hear that. What? Hearsay 23 objection. 24 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, I'm asking him the 25 nature of the complaint and what he did in response.

1 not to show -- I'm not going to ask him what the specific 2 wording of the complaint was but the actions that he took in 3 response. 4 THE COURT: I find that acceptable. 5 Would you mind specifically restating THE WITNESS: 6 the question? 7 Sure. The type of complaint that Mr. Fehrman came to 8 you with. 9 Yes. He told me that he had received a solicitation, 10 a bribe -- or he received a solicitation to be bribed, I 11 quess. 12 What conduct were you investigating with Mr. Fehrman? 13 Whether or not he received an offer to be paid for 14 insider information. 15 Q Were you investigating a contract dispute? 16 Α No, ma'am. 17 You were shown select portions of transcripts and text 18 messages during cross-examination; do you recall that? 19 I do. Α 20 During your investigation, did Mr. Borges give 21 Mr. Fehrman a check for \$15,000? 22 Α He did. 23 And after he gave him that check, did he ask 24 additional questions? 25 He asked for a number of signatures. Α

1 Was that a single time? Q 2 No, multiple. I think it was eight to ten times. 3 Agent Wetzel, you were asked some questions about FES 4 board approval; do you remember that, on cross-examination? 5 I do. 6 All right. Does the fact that a corporate board 7 approved something mean that you can't investigate the crimes that occurred with other people? 8 9 It does not mean that. 10 Agent Wetzel, yesterday on cross-examination, you were 11 shown some \$4,000 checks into the Generation Now account. 12 Do you recall that? 13 I do. 14 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, permission to publish 15 what's been admitted as Exhibit 16? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 Agent Wetzel, do you remember Exhibit 16? Q 18 Yes. We looked at it previously. Α 19 Okay. And what is the total at the bottom of the 20 amount of money that went into the Generation Now account 21 according to Exhibit 16? 22 Α \$64,397,395.22. 23 Okay. And can you circle that number for us? Q 24 (Witness writing.) Α 25 MS. GLATFELTER: All right. Your Honor, permission

1 to publish next to this Exhibit 15, which has been admitted? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MS. GLATFELTER: Exhibit 15. 4 0 All right. Do you recognize or recall Exhibit 15, 5 Agent Wetzel? I do. 6 Α 7 Remind us what agent -- Exhibit 15 is. 8 15 is a chart of payments into the 3310 Generation Now 9 bank account. 10 Okay. And can you compare the two totals of these 11 charts for us? 12 Yes. The chart on the left that is the 64 million and 13 the one on the right is the 59 million and change. 14 Okay. So 64 million into the Generation Now account 0 15 during the course of your investigation? Correct, yes. The left one includes the 6847, which 16 17 is another account that was a part of Generation Now. 18 So 64 million into the Generation Now accounts during 0 19 the course of your investigation? 20 Correct. 21 How much of that using the chart on the right was Q 22 money from FirstEnergy bank accounts? 23 Α The vast majority. 24 Can you circle that amount for us? Q 25 (Witness writing.) Α

1	Q Now, does the chart on the right, does that include			
2	the money that FirstEnergy wired into Hardworking Ohioans			
3	and ultimately gave to hardworking Americans during the 2018			
4	election cycle?			
5	A It does not. These are only direct payments into 3310			
6	account.			
7	Q Would that increase the \$59 million by, I don't know			
8	how much, another million?			
9	A Approximately.			
10	MS. GLATFELTER: All right. And, Ms. Terry, if we			
11	can keep the chart on the left up for a moment. Your Honor,			
12	permission to publish what's been admitted as 14 B,			
13	page 138?			
14	THE COURT: Yes.			
15	MS. GLATFELTER: I'm sorry, Exhibit 16, Ms. Terry.			
16	I misspoke. All right. And if we can enlarge, on			
17	Exhibit 16, if we can enlarge the transaction October 2018.			
18	That's perfect. Thank you.			
19	Q Do you see that, Agent Wetzel?			
20	A I do.			
21	Q Do you remember being asked questions about the name			
22	that was placed in the column for the description there, the			
23	entity providing the money?			
24	A Yes.			
25	Q Okay. What is the name that is in the October 16th,			

```
1
       2018, transaction for $400,000?
 2
             FirstEnergy.
 3
                MS. GLATFELTER: Okay. And, Ms. Terry, while
       keeping that up, if we can publish -- Your Honor, this is
 4
 5
       the exhibit I asked for before, 14 B, page 138, which is
       admitted?
 6
7
                THE COURT: Yes.
 8
                MS. GLATFELTER: Okay. That's fine, that's great.
 9
       And can you enlarge that section for us again?
10
            I'm sorry, Agent Wetzel, few technical difficulties
11
       there.
12
             All right. Do you see the description for the
13
       October 16th, 2018, transaction?
14
             Yes. It lists FirstEnergy.
       Α
15
       Q
             Okay. And do you recognize the document behind it,
16
       which is page 138 of Exhibit 14 B?
17
             I do.
       Α
18
             What is it?
       0
19
             That's the check for $400,000.
       Α
20
             Okay. And how does the description FirstEnergy relate
21
       to the check that's on the screen?
22
       Α
             The check lists in the top left corner that it's from
23
       FirstEnergy.
24
             Do the descriptions match?
25
             They do.
       Α
```

1 Is the description from the bank records? Q 2 It is. 3 Almost finished, Agent Wetzel. You were asked a few 4 questions about the inauguration yesterday; do you remember 5 that? I do. 6 Α 7 You were asked some questions about one particular dinner at the Charlie Palmer steakhouse; do you remember 8 9 those questions? 10 I recall. 11 Okay. Agent Wetzel, were there other dinners at other 12 steakhouses during the course of that inauguration trip? 13 Yes. There was an itinerary that we looked at. 14 MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, permission to publish what's been admitted as Exhibit 215 B? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 MS. GLATFELTER: Thank you. 18 Okay. Agent Wetzel, we'll go through this, but do you 19 see the Charlie Palmer steakhouse dinner? 20 I do. 21 Okay. And below that, do you see additional dinners 22 listed on the itinerary? And we can go to the second page, 23 if necessary. 24 MS. GLATFELTER: Go ahead, we can go to the second 25 page.

1		THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.		
2	Q	Okay. Let's go ahead and circle those.		
3	A	(Witness writing.)		
4	Q	Okay. And is there one on the 20th as well?		
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	All right. Now, Agent Wetzel, where is this document		
7	from?			
8	A	FirstEnergy.		
9	Q	<pre>It's a FirstEnergy document?</pre>		
10	A	Correct.		
11	Q	All right. And who does it list for the reservation?		
12	A	It lists that the reservation for six and eight		
13	depen	depending on which one under Larry Householder.		
14	Q	Okay. And are there references on this itinerary to		
15	Chuck	k Jones being in town?		
16	A	Yes.		
17	Q	Okay. And can you underline where you see that on the		
18	document?			
19	A	(Witness writing.)		
20	Q	Okay. And is there another one below that?		
21	A	(Witness writing.)		
22		MS. GLATFELTER: And if we go back to the first		
23	page,	Ms. Terry. I'm sorry, the second page.		
24	Q	Do you see other references to Chuck Jones?		
25	A	(Witness writing.)		

1	Q	All right. Thank you, Agent Wetzel.		
2		Agent Wetzel, defense counsel asked you about whether		
3	there	was an emergency that required the HB 6 legislation;		
4	do yo	u remember that?		
5	A	I remember.		
6	Q	And you hesitated when you responded.		
7	A	I did.		
8	Q	Why did you hesitate?		
9	A	I'm not certain that it's an emergency. The subsidies		
10	repealed, the plants are still open. I don't know that I			
11	would	characterize that as an emergency.		
12	Q	The subsidies were repealed?		
13	A	Correct. They never received the money.		
14	Q	Okay. And they are still open?		
15	A	Yes, they're still operating today.		
16	Q	They didn't close?		
17	A	No.		
18	Q	Now, Agent Wetzel, you were asked some questions about		
19	a calendar invitation from 2017, from August of 2017,			
20	related to the Crop Bistro, do you recall that?			
21	A	I do.		
22	Q	All right. And you were asked questions about that in		
23	relation to another e-mail about the Crop Bistro; do you			
24	remember that?			
25	A	I do.		

1	MS. GLATFELTER: Your Honor, permission to publish		
2	both of these exhibits, this will be Government Exhibit 232		
3	C and Exhibit 470 from Householder, both of which have been		
4	admitted?		
5	THE COURT: Yes. Yes.		
6	$oldsymbol{Q}$ All right. The suggestion was that this wasn't just a		
7	personal meeting with Charles Jones, it was that this was a		
8	group meeting or a fundraiser; do you recall that?		
9	A I do.		
10	$oldsymbol{Q}$ All right. What is the time on the calendar invite		
11	for on the left?		
12	A It lists 21:00, which is 9:00 p.m. to 22:00, which is		
13	10:00 p.m.		
14	Q Can you circle that for us, please?		
15	A (Witness writing.)		
16	Q All right. And what is the time on the e-mail		
17	regarding what time the fundraiser is supposed to be?		
18	A It lists the when as 5:30 to 7:30 p.m.		
19	Q Okay. And can you circle that for us?		
20	A (Witness writing.)		
21	Q All right. So is the calendar entry for the same time		
22	or a different time?		
23	A A different time.		
24	MS. GLATFELTER: One moment, Your Honor.		
25	THE COURT: Yes.		

```
1
                MS. GLATFELTER: No further questions.
2
                THE COURT: Very well. Recross on redirect, if
 3
       any, from Mr. Householder's counsel?
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, Judge. Would now be an
 4
 5
      appropriate time for a break?
                THE COURT: Yes. Members of the Jury, we've
 6
7
      reached our mid afternoon break. Break for 25 minutes. I
 8
      want you to have a good break. I want you not to discuss
 9
      the case, I order you not to. No independent research.
10
      Continue to keep an open mind. Continue to recall that it
11
       is Friday. We'll rise as you leave.
12
                THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
13
                THE COURT: For your break until 3:10.
14
            (Jury exited the courtroom at 2:42 p.m.)
15
                THE COURT: Jury has left the room. We'll wait
16
      until they clear the floor. I'm actually going to try and
17
      get them back at 3:10, so plan accordingly. And I'll be
18
       interested where the government is on that timing. I'm
19
      going to break now, though, until 3:10. Recess. Oh, have
20
      to wait.
21
                THE DEPUTY: All clear.
22
                THE COURT: We're in recess.
23
                THE DEPUTY: Court is in recess.
24
            (Recess taken from 2:44 p.m. to 3:10 p.m.)
25
                THE DEPUTY: All rise. Court is in session
```

```
1
       pursuant to the recess.
 2
                THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Are we
 3
       ready for the jury from the government's perspective?
                MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Mr. Householder's perspective?
 6
                MR. GLICKMAN: Yes, Judge.
 7
                THE COURT: Mr. Borges' as well?
 8
                MR. SCHNEIDER: (Nodding head.) Yes.
 9
                THE COURT: Very well. Let's call for the jury.
10
            (Pause.)
11
                THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
12
            (Jury entered the courtroom at 3:13 p.m.)
13
                THE COURT: Jurors can be seated as they join us.
14
       You may all be seated. Thank you. 14 Members of the Jury
15
       are back. Thank you for your close attention. Lawyer for
16
       Mr. Householder has a chance to recross on redirect. If you
17
       wish, you may proceed.
18
                MR. GLICKMAN: Thank you, Judge. Briefly.
19
                THE COURT: Yes. I'm going to keep the scope.
20
                MR. GLICKMAN: Absolutely.
21
                THE COURT: All right.
22
                            RECROSS-EXAMINATION
23
       BY MR. GLICKMAN:
2.4
             Agent Wetzel, you were asked a couple of questions
25
       about the inauguration week, specifically about a travel
```

1 itinerary, yes? 2 Α Correct. 3 And you were asked about the Charlie Palmer steak 4 dinner on the 18th? 5 Indeed. Okay. And the Charlie Palmer steak dinner on the 6 7 18th, pursuant to that itinerary shows that Chuck Jones was 8 not in attendance, yes? 9 I don't know that that's what that itinerary shows. 10 You saw a travel itinerary that shows that he arrives 11 on the 19th from Naples, Florida, yes? I remember we looked at it. Yes. 12 Α 13 Okay. So he wasn't in Washington on the 18th, fair? Q 14 That's what the itinerary reflects. 15 Q But until then, until yesterday pursuant to your 16 investigation, you believed he was at the dinner? 17 No. I believe I said I wasn't sure without examining 18 the documents. 19 Okay. Well, that's because you did not subpoena 20 Mr. Jones' credit card receipts, did you? 21 Α The Grand Jury --22 THE COURT: Objection? 23 MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, scope. 24 THE COURT: Beyond the scope? 25 MS. GLATFELTER: Yes.

```
1
                MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, I don't believe it is.
                                                              It's
 2
       about the same dinner.
 3
                THE COURT: Get through it.
                MR. GLICKMAN: I will.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Give you leeway here. Go ahead.
 6
       Objection is overruled.
             Well, here, I'll do it generally. No subpoena was
7
 8
       issued to any FirstEnergy executive who you believed was in
 9
       attendance that week, was there?
10
             I don't -- no, I don't believe so.
11
             Okay. Well, you certainly didn't see the results of
12
       any such subpoena, did you?
13
             I did not subpoena the personal records. I don't
14
       remember that.
15
       Q
             All right. You've never seen those personal credit
16
       card receipts, yes?
17
       Α
             Correct.
18
             Nor have you seen the expense reports of FirstEnergy
19
       executives for that week?
20
             Those may have been contained in the return that we
21
       got. Forgive me, I'm not certain.
22
             Fair enough. As we sit here today, you have no
23
       independent recollection of a FirstEnergy expense report
24
       placing someone at the Charlie Palmer dinner on the 18th?
25
             I don't recall that.
       Α
```

```
1
             Okay. All right. And had the credit card receipts,
       Q
2
       for example, been subpoenaed, you would have been able to
 3
       look at them, and if smart phone had made a charge at the
 4
       Charlie --
 5
                THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
 6
       You're beyond the scope. You've made the point. Please
7
       move along.
 8
                MR. GLICKMAN: Nothing further.
 9
                THE COURT: Very well. On behalf of Mr. Borges?
10
                MR. SCHNEIDER: No recross-examination.
11
                THE COURT: So the witness is entitled to stand
12
       down.
13
                THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Would you like me to
14
       take these materials as well?
                THE COURT: Yes.
15
16
            (Witness left the stand.)
17
                THE COURT: I know the government has another
18
       witness ready to go. I'm not confident we're going to get
19
       that witness on and off the stand today given direct, cross,
20
       cross, redirect, recross, recross. I know that one of the
21
       lawyers has something going on in personal life.
22
            Is there anybody who would object strenuously, or would
23
       object, does the government want to insist on going forward
24
       or can the judge make the independent decision to send
25
       people home, from the government's perspective?
```

1 MS. GAFFNEY-PAINTER: Your Honor, the government 2 would have no objection to the judge making an independent 3 determination about whether we can all go home. THE COURT: Does counsel for Householder have a 4 5 position? 6 MR. GLICKMAN: Judge, we defer to the Court. 7 THE COURT: And for Borges? 8 MR. SCHNEIDER: That would be fine, Judge. 9 THE COURT: All right. If we could get the witness 10 on and off the stand, that would be great. Based on my 11 experience, I don't think we will. I think it's a perfect 12 time to break. For the fifth time today, today is Friday, 13 you've had a long week and you have stayed on task and you 14 have stayed healthy. I'm going to decide we've had enough 15 for the day. That means you get to go home early. I think 16 that's a good thing, it is in my life at least, because 17 we've all had a long week that we've worked hard on. 18 This weekend is really important. Obviously, no 19 discussion of the case among yourselves or with anyone. No 20 independent research. No checking out social media or 21 media. You need to continue to keep an open mind. You 22 haven't heard all of the evidence. You promised me you 23 would. So when you go home, take a break. 24 The expert with whom I consulted indicated that there 25 are two windows of potential contingent that we need to be

worried about. The first was Wednesday, Thursday and Friday, and you passed with flying colors. Saturday and Sunday are another window depending on when the person got COVID that I released. I want you to stay home and have a break. I want you to be smart about how you interact with people, and I want desperately for you to be healthy, happy and attentive. So take a break this weekend.

We'll test Monday morning first thing, and if it's all negative as it has been, that's a huge step forward. We're working on getting more comfortable masks other than these N95s. So don't give up on me, I'm working to keep you healthy and able to work through the duration as you've all committed.

We're on task. This early break is not going to mess us up. And if I let you go home right this minute, then, I have people monitoring the elevators, I won't have to apologize to you again today. (Laughter.) So out of respect for you and upon my admonitions, we'll rise as you leave for the day.

THE DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.

(Jury exited the courtroom at 3:21 p.m.)

THE COURT: Jury has left the room, the door is closing. We remain on the record. As always, we'll stay in the courtroom until we're advised that they've cleared the floor. Is there anything that requires my attention outside

```
1
       the presence of the jury now before we break for the weekend
2
       from the government?
 3
                MS. GLATFELTER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
                THE COURT: Mr. Householder's counsel?
 4
 5
                MR. GLICKMAN: No, Judge.
                THE COURT: Mr. Borges' counsel?
 6
7
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Nothing other than maybe adding the
 8
       next witnesses. I think we know who they are.
 9
                MS. GAFFNEY-PAINTER: Anna Lippincott.
10
                MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
11
                THE COURT: You'll tell the other side who your
       witnesses will be tomorrow, including the one you were ready
12
13
       to go on, and if you get through her, you'll be able to tell
14
       them who that is as the following witness?
15
                MS. GLATFELTER: Yes, Your Honor. We've already
16
       done so.
17
                THE COURT: Very well. So we're waiting for a
18
       sign.
19
                THE DEPUTY: All clear.
20
                THE COURT: We've gotten the sign. Enjoy your
21
       weekend; I know you won't.
22
                THE DEPUTY: Court is in recess.
23
            (Proceedings continued in progress at 3:23 p.m.)
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE		
2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter		
3	prepared from my stenotype notes.		
4	Lisa Conley Yungblut LISA CONLEY YUNGBLUT, RMR, CRR,	02/13/2023	
5	LISA CONLEY YUNGBLUT, RMR, CRR,	CRC DATE	
6	<u>I N D E X</u>		
7	EXAMINATIONS		
	GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	
8	BLANE WETZEL		
	Cross-Examination (Cont.) by Mr. Glickman	1596	
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Schneider	1676	
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Glatfelter	1733	
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. Glickman	1750	
1 1			
11	EXHIBITS HOUSEHOLDED EVILLEDES	DAGE ADMITTED	
12	HOUSEHOLDER EXHIBITS No. 469	PAGE ADMITTED 1597	
12	No. 169	1600	
13	No. 211	1604	
13	No. 308	1609	
14	No. 309	1609	
TI	No. 165	1612	
15	No. 166	1612	
10	No. 471	1622	
16	No. 399	1645	
10	No. 403	1646	
17	No. 392	1651	
	No. 379	1657	
18	No. 418	1660	
	No. 289	1675	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
٥٦			
25			